



DISTRICT BUDGET AND RESOURCE
 ALLOCATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE
 May 16, 2014 / 2:30 – 4:30 p.m.
 Clovis Center, Room 308 / Approved Minutes

Call to Order:

Committee Chair Ed Eng called the meeting to order at 2:30 p.m. A quorum was established.

Present: DO: Jothany Blackwood, Diane Clerou, Ed Eng, Wil Schofield, Patricia Gonzalez (Recorder)
 FCC: Francisco Corchado, Bruce Hill, Mikki Johnson (arrived at 2:35 p.m.), Cheryl Sullivan, Harry Zahlis
 RC: Donna Berry, Richardson Fleuridor (arrived at 2:36 p.m.), Jim Gilmore
 WI: Karen Ainsworth, Lorrie Hopper, Arla Hile, Joseph Libby, Brian Shamp, Colin Van Loon

Absent: Viviana Acevedo, Ben Andersen, Lacy Barnes, Melanie Highfill and Peggy Marks

I. Welcome: Chairman Eng welcomed everyone and introduced the District's Interim Chancellor, Dr. Bill Stewart.

Dr. Stewart provided a historical background on how money has been distributed for the colleges/centers. Dr. Stewart noted that the concept of making everyone whole is critical. The model DBRAAC has developed will work after all salaries, benefits, and fixed costs are paid off the top. Dr. Stewart stated he will not make a recommendation to the board that does not make any of the colleges that exist whole for this coming fiscal year.

Chairman Eng reviewed the following modified allocation model:

**State Center CCD
 2014-15 Budget Allocation Worksheet**

	Districtwide/ District Office	Fresno City College	Reedley College	Willow International	TOTAL DISTRICT
2014-15 Available Resources to Allocate (Restoration 3% / COLA 0.86%)					\$ 140,382,120
Option 1 DBRAAC Allocation Model					
FY 2013-14 BASE ALLOCATION	\$ 22,812,291	\$ 71,849,642	\$ 32,245,906	\$ 10,815,910	\$ 137,523,749
2014-15 Permanent (Fixed) Cost Increases	269,493	1,295,982	619,769	210,400	2,395,644
2013-14 Alloc + 14-15 Fixed Costs	\$ 22,881,784	\$ 73,145,624	\$ 32,865,675	\$ 11,026,310	\$ 139,919,393
2014-15 DBRAAC Allocation Model	\$ 23,005,343	\$ 72,141,529	\$ 32,777,766	\$ 12,457,482	\$ 140,382,120
Over / (Under) Allocated to cover base need	\$ 123,559	\$ (1,004,095)	\$ (87,909)	\$ 1,431,172	\$ 462,727
				Surplus / (Deficit)	\$ -
Option 2 Modified Allocation Model					
FY 2013-14 BASE ALLOCATION	\$ 22,812,291	\$ 71,849,642	\$ 32,245,906	\$ 10,815,910	\$ 137,523,749
2014-15 Permanent (Fixed) Cost Increases	269,493	1,295,982	619,769	210,400	2,395,644
Adjustment: Site Specific Misc Revenue/OPEB	175,178	131,000	89,400	57,800	453,378
Willow-International Accreditation Staffing	-	-	-	1,015,000	1,015,000
2014-15 MODIFIED Allocation Model	\$ 23,056,962	\$ 73,276,624	\$ 32,955,075	\$ 12,099,110	\$ 141,387,771
				Surplus / (Deficit)	\$ (1,005,651)
Difference between Allocation Opt 2 vs Opt 1	\$ 51,619	\$ 1,135,095	\$ 177,309	\$ (358,372)	\$ 1,005,651

Chairman Eng noted that the emphasis for 2014-15 will be to restore student access. It was noted that Willow International was allocated additional monies for new positions in order to meet accreditation status. Dr. Stewart noted the district will cover the additional monies (\$1m/year) out of reserves for the next two years. When Willow International is accredited, the college will pick up the staffing cost.

Chairman Eng informed the committee that if additional monies are received after salaries, benefits, and fixed costs are paid, then such monies will go through a component of the model, which may be the FTES model or another methodology that the committee may develop.

Dr. Stewart made it clear the district needs to focus on student growth to receive additional funds in order to build for the future. Therefore, under the guidance and direction of the interim chancellor and acclamation of the committee, the revised resource allocation model will be used for 2014-15.

II. Review of April 4, 2014 Meeting Minutes

a) April 4, 2014 Minutes

Discussion: Motion made by Karen Ainsworth, second by Richardson Fleuridor to approve the April 4, 2014 minutes as presented.

- a) In Favor: 17
- b) Oppose: 0
- c) Abstain: 0
- d) Motion achieved qualified consensus (passed)

Introductions were made.

III. 2014-15 Perkins Funding Model

Chairman Eng reviewed the 2014-15 Perkins funding model allocation as presented below:

VTEA TITLE I-C ALLOCATION 2014-15

2014-15 Perkins Allocation			1,202,166
	Admin 5%		60,108
	Balance to Sites		1,142,058
	CURRENT		
	Headcounts		
FCC	11,793	63.44%	724,521
RC/NC	6,797	36.56%	
	18,590	100.00%	724,521
RC	3,580	19.25%	219,846
WI	1,752	9.43%	107,696
MC	1,314	7.07%	80,743
OC	151	0.81%	9,251
	6,797	36.56%	417,536
	Total		1,142,057

The committee will review, at a later date, Perkins funding recommendations for 2015-16.

IV. 2014-15 Lottery Fees Allocation Model

Chairman Eng reviewed the 2014-15 lottery fees allocation as presented below.

State Center CCD

2014-15 Lottery Allocation

Proposed 1-22-14

LTO - Unrestricted	FY10,11,12	2013-14		Proposed
	3-Yr Avg % Alloc			2014-15
BOARD	Fixed	\$	400,000	\$ 400,000
DO	34.43%	\$	1,239,480	\$ 1,239,480
FCC	37.53%	\$	1,351,080	\$ 1,351,080
RC	17.39%	\$	626,040	\$ 626,040
WI	6.71%	\$	241,542	\$ 241,542
MC	3.41%	\$	122,688	\$ 122,688
OC	0.53%	\$	19,170	\$ 19,170
Total LTO	100.00%	\$	4,000,000	\$ 4,000,000

LTS - Prop 20 - Restricted		2013-14		2014-15
FCC	45.07%	\$	394,362	\$ 405,630
RC	20.88%	\$	182,700	\$ 187,920
WI	21.45%	\$	187,688	\$ 193,050
MC	11.34%	\$	99,225	\$ 102,060
OC	1.26%	\$	11,025	\$ 11,340
Total LTS	100.00%	\$	875,000	\$ 900,000

Total Lottery		2013-14		2014-15
BOARD		\$	400,000	\$ 400,000
DO		\$	1,239,480	\$ 1,239,480
FCC		\$	1,745,442	\$ 1,756,710
RC		\$	808,740	\$ 813,960
WI		\$	429,230	\$ 434,592
MC		\$	221,913	\$ 224,748
OC		\$	30,195	\$ 30,510
Total Lottery		\$	4,875,000	\$ 4,900,000

Suggested Allocation:		2013-14		2014-15	
LTO	Est Rev 12-13	\$	3,000,000	\$	3,450,000
LTO - Reserve	Reserve	\$	1,000,000	\$	550,000
Total LTO		\$	4,000,000	\$	4,000,000
LTS	Est Rev 12-13	\$	800,000	\$	850,000
LTS - Reserve	Reserve	\$	75,000	\$	50,000
Total LTS		\$	875,000	\$	900,000
Grand Total		\$	4,875,000	\$	4,900,000

Chairman Eng noted percentages are calculated on a historical three year average.

V. Health Fees

Chairman Eng reviewed the Health Fees allocation as presented below.

SCCCD Health Fees
2014-15 Allocation Worksheet

Proposal 2-4-14

	13FA	%	12FA	%	11FA	%	10FA	%
FCC	21,839	59.24%	22,067	59.37%	20,481	56.65%	24,563	61.11%
RC	6,122	16.60%	6,218	16.73%	6,702	18.54%	7,032	17.49%
WI (NC prior to 12-13)	5,531	15.00%	5,352	14.40%	5,562	15.39%	8,603	21.40%
MC	2,724	7.39%	2,899	7.80%	2,779	7.69%	0	
OC	651	1.77%	633	1.70%	627	1.73%	0	
Total	36,867	100.00%	37,169	100.00%	36,151	100.00%	40,198	100.00%
Undup Total	33,789		34,265		32,937		37,578	
# dups	3,078		2,904		3,214		2,620	

SCCCD Enrollment Headcount
Source: <http://www.ir.scccd.edu/>
Date: 2-6-14

Estimated Budget Allocation:	Budget 2014-15	Budget 2013-14	Budget 2012-13	Budget 2011-12
	1,350,000	1,300,000	1,100,000	1,200,000
FCC	799,740	771,810	623,150	733,320
RC	224,100	217,490	203,940	209,880
WI (NC prior to 12-13)	202,500	187,200	169,290	256,800
MC/OC	123,660	123,500	103,620	-
Total	1,350,000	1,300,000	1,100,000	1,200,000

Chairman Eng noted that health fees are based upon the number of headcounts per site. If a student attends more than one campus, they are duplicated in the count. This is the historical model that has been used. The vice presidents are currently reviewing the model and will forward a recommendation to the committee at a later date.

VI. Annual Review of DBRAAC Operating Agreement

Chairman Eng noted the committee must review the operating agreement on an annual basis. Jothany reminded the committee that any significant changes will need to go through the constituent group process for review and approval.

Jim Gilmore suggested the committee review the voting consensus model. Chairman Eng recommended the committee consider a super majority model to receive more buy-in from the committee. The committee held a brief discussion related to the voting consensus model.

Motion made by Richardson Fleuridor, second by Jim Gilmore to change the voting consensus model to a super majority and decide the majority percentage in the fall.

After further discussion regarding the voting consensus model, Richardson Fleuridor pulled his motion and Jim Gilmore pulled his second. The committee will review the voting consensus model in the fall and put forth one single motion. Jim Gilmore and Richardson Fleuridor will put

together a proposal (including rationale to change the voting consensus model) and bring forth to the committee in the fall.

The committee held discussion on the FON and how positions are prioritized. Dr. Stewart noted that positions are a campus recommendation and administration will review how each site is staffed, will review the imbalance at sites, and will make a decision where positions and/or programs will go.

VII. Develop Resource Allocation Model Evaluation Tool

The committee discussed the need for developing a system to evaluate the effectiveness of the model. Dr. Stewart noted that the resource allocation model should be transparent and easy to understand. For efficiency purposes, Dr. Stewart suggested a subcommittee develop a draft evaluation tool to evaluate the modified resource allocation model. The subcommittee will consist of: Joseph Libby, Jim Gilmore, Wil Schofield, Cheryl Sullivan, Lorrie Hopper, Donna Berry and Harry Zahlis. Jim Gilmore will send out an email to notify the subcommittee of the meeting dates.

Chairman Eng will send a calendar reflecting budget deadline dates for the committee. Jothany Blackwood will send DBRAAC's meeting calendar for Fall 2014.

VIII. Prioritize List of Future Considerations for the Allocation Model

The list will be reviewed and prioritized in the fall.

IX. Adjourn: Meeting adjourned at 3:50 p.m. The next scheduled meeting: TBD