

Reedley College Academic Senate Minutes
Tuesday, April 9, 2013 (2:00-3:30PM)
Reedley Campus LRC 104 | Madera Center AV1-207

1. Meeting Called to Order @ 2:03 PM
2. Roll Call / Review of Senate Members and Guests.
 - Honored Guest—Trustee John Leal

| Officers | | Present | Absent |
|-----------------------------|------------|---------|--------|
| <i>President</i> | J. Ragan | X | |
| <i>V.P. for Senate Bus.</i> | L. Dobusch | X | |
| <i>V.P. for Curric.</i> | P. Gilmore | X | |
| <i>Secretary</i> | R. Garza | X | |
| <i>Rep. to ASCCC</i> | S. Curry | X | |
| <i>M.O.F.A.</i> | J. Leech | X | |
| <i>Immediate Past Pres.</i> | B. Turini | X | |

| Guests: | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Linda Carvalho-Cooley | |
| Jim Gilmore | |
| Ed. Eng | |
| John Leal | |
| Nancy Frampton | |
| | |
| | |

| Department | Senator | Present | Absent | Department | Senator | Present | Absent |
|------------------------------------|---------------|---------|--------|--------------------------------------|---------------|---------|--------|
| <i>Adjunct Fac. (1)</i> | Vacant | | X | <i>Fine Arts & Soc. Sci. (1)</i> | J. Ledgerwood | | X |
| <i>AG & Nat Resources (1)</i> | N. Gutierrez | | X | <i>Fine Arts & Soc. Sci. (2)</i> | Vacant | | X |
| | J. Soderland | | X | | | | |
| <i>Auxiliary (1)</i> | Vacant | | X | <i>Science & Tech. (1)</i> | R. Fleuridor | X | |
| <i>Business (1)</i> | D. Morales | X | | <i>Science & Tech. (2)</i> | B. Smith-Bush | | X |
| <i>Business (2)</i> | D. Meier | | X | <i>Phys. Ed. & Health (1)</i> | J. Hacker | | X |
| <i>Business (3)</i> | F. Underwood | X | | <i>Phys. Ed. & Health (2)</i> | B. Fonseca | | X |
| <i>Comp., Lit. & Comm. (1)</i> | E. Berg | X | | <i>Phys. Ed. & Health (3)</i> | Vacant | | X |
| <i>Comp., Lit. & Comm. (2)</i> | R. LaSalle | X | | <i>Industrial Tech. (1)</i> | J. Asman | | X |
| | | | | <i>Math & Tech (1)</i> | L. Mata | X | |
| | | | | <i>Math & Tech (2)</i> | C. Montgomery | X | |
| | | | | | | | |
| <i>Counseling (1)</i> | G. Spear | X | | <i>Reading & Lang. (1)</i> | S. Aguirre | X | |
| <i>Counseling (2)</i> | C. Bos | X | | <i>Reading & Lang. (2)</i> | N. Maryanow | X | |
| <i>Counseling (3)</i> | S. Trimble | X | | | | | |

3. Consideration of 3/12/13 minutes.
Move to approve as submitted: R. Fleuridor; second N. Maryanow. Abstention—B. Turini. Approved
4. Amend agenda, if necessary.
5. Department Reports
None.
6. Committee Reports

District Standing Committees

- Communications Council
- Strategic Planning for District-wide Facilities Committee
- Information System. Advisory Committee
- Strategic Planning

District Ad Hoc Committees

- AR 7120 Review (B. Turini)

- District Resource Allocation Model Task Force (J. Gilmore)
Since moving to the consensus model, very little has gotten done. There is a fundamental disagreement about how the funding model should look. Madera and Willow are concerned that if the model funds based on programs and faculty their opportunity to grow may be limited; Reedley is concerned that if model funds based on fte then it will not be able to support current faculty and expensive programs, currently in place. And currently there is no way of moving teachers from RC; we have to pay for who is here. There is currently no easy way to move a program or discontinue a program. I (J. Gilmore) do not believe it is in the best interest of the district or our students to discontinue programs that are currently being offered. Another thing is that DBRACC is going to become the new committee even though it was not approved by the RC or FCC senates. This information should be taken back to the departments.

- District Staffing Plan Taskforce (B. Turini)

- District Signature Programs

- District Technology Taskforce

- Computer Familiarity Test (L. Dobusch)

Academic Senate Standing Committees

- Academic Standards

- Curriculum (P. Gilmore)

- Faculty Professional Development (Flex) (L. Dobusch)

- Equivalency (B. Turini)

Academic Senate Ad Hoc Committees

Faculty Evaluation Form Review (L. Dobusch)

Equivalency Process Review (B. Turini)

AP Credit Review (R. Garza)

Computer Familiarity Requirement Review (L. Dobusch)

State Center Federation of Teachers (A.F.T. Local 1533)

College Ad Hoc Committees

- College Council Committee Review

College Committees

- Salary Advancement
- Scholarship and Financial Aid
- Matriculation
- Staff Development
- Program Review
- Sabbatical Leave
- Technology Advisory

Reedley Campus Committees

- Budget
- College Council
- Distance Education
- Enrollment Management
- Facilities
- Health and Safety
- Staff Development
- Strategic Planning
- Student Conduct
- Student Success

7. Old Business

7.1 RC Program Review Committee Operating Agreement (referred by RC Program Review Committee)

- S. Curry move to approve as submitted; second P. Gilmore. Approved.

7.2 RC Staff Development Committee Operating Agreement (referred by RC Academic Senate reps to College Council)

- S. Curry move to approve; second C. Montgomery.
- S. Curry move to add a line to Operating Procedures to specifically identify funding for the Senate; second C. Montgomery—Approved; S. Curry to email specific language to the secretary.
- J. Leech move to add language acknowledging the MOFA committee as a subcommittee; second B. Turini—Approved; J. to email with specific language.
- C. Bos add language to allow for a proxy vote when a member of the committee has a conflict of interest; second C. Montgomery—Approved; abstention S. Curry; C. Bos to email specific language.
- Approved as amended.

7.3 SCCCD AR 4260 modification (referred by RC Curriculum Committee)

- S. Curry move to approve as submitted; second C. Montgomery—Approved.

7.4 RC 2014-15 Budget Worksheet (referred by RC Budget Committee)

- S. Curry move to approve as submitted; second C. Montgomery—Approved.

7.5 RC 2013-14 Budget Planning Calendar (referred by RC Budget Committee)

- P. Gilmore move to approve as submitted; second S. Curry—Approved.

7.6 DRAFT 2012-13 RC Goals (referred by College Council)

- S. Curry move to approve as submitted; second S. Trimble—Approved.
- S. Curry: Michael White wanted to note that the goals are limited due to nature of his interim position.

8. New Business

8.1 RC 2013-17 Strategic Plan (referred by RC Strategic Planning Committee) presented by Linda Cooley.

- Goal is align with the district plan; scheduled to follow the district plan by one year; a product of charrettes and internal and external scans.

8.2 RC Mission, Vision, and Values document (referred by RC Strategic Planning Committee) presented by Linda Cooley.

- Part of the Strategic Plan; intended to align with the district, while speaking specifically to our campus.

8.3 RC Credit Course Outline (referred by RC Curriculum Committee)

- Intended to make to form more efficient and coincide with the update of CurricUNET.

8.4 DRAFT Resolution regarding Advanced Placement Credit (referred by AP Credit Review Ad Hoc Committee)

- Will tie AP Credit Review to Program Review and require that tests, scores, and courses for which credit is awarded be published in the course catalog.
- Does not yet address district-wide discrepancies.

9. Informational Items

9.1 RC Program Review Rubric (Eileen Apperson)

- Postponed until the April 23rd meeting due to time constraints.

9.2 CCC ESL Test Development Project (Nancy Frampton)

- The ESL Department would like the Senate to adopt a resolution in support of the draft ASCCC Resolution (18.01 S13 April 18, 2013) regarding CCC ESL Assessment for Placement Test Development Project.
- L. Dobusch move to amend agenda and make New Business; second P. Gilmore—Approved.
- N. Maryanow move to make a one hearing item; second P. Gilmore.
- N. Maryanow move to approve; second P. Gilmore—Approved; one abstention C. Montgomery.

9.3 SCCCD BP 6200 (Ed Eng – Vice-Chancellor, Finance and Administration)

- Powerpoint Presentation
- B. Turini: Why 15% and not say 10%? E. Eng: It's a number that kind of ties in to the economic uncertainty; it provides a 10% cushion over the chancellor's 5%. It helps with cash flow, in having to cope with deferrals.
- S. Curry: Why isn't it okay that the "at least 6%" can mean more than 6%? Why change board policy to respond to a potentially temporary crisis, only to possibly have to go back and change it again? E. Eng: When a significant portion of the reserve remains undesignated, people want a part of that money, even though we actually need it for the economic uncertainty.
- J. Gilmore: Are we increasing the reserve? E. Eng: It is not an increase of the reserve. It is a change in how the reserve is divided up.
- R. LaSalle: We've been dealing with deferrals since 2008, how did the 6% fair? It seems like we've done okay. E. Eng: Correct, but now we're saying that we're going to allow the campuses spend down their reserves. If they spend down those reserves how am I going to make payroll? Most companies that file bankruptcy, file bankruptcy because of cash flow issues.
- B. Turini: Your presentation gave us some information that isn't in the policy, such as allowing the campuses to spend down reserves. Pardon my skepticism, when I hear you say that, but my experience has been to the contrary. E. Eng: They have wanted to spend down the reserves, but because of all the turmoil of the past few years, when you think about it, when things are really bad you don't want to start spending, you want to kind of save your money. When it happens it happens.
- B. Turini: My main concern is that this was never vetted to Communication Council to my knowledge. Why did the District not send this out for constituent review prior to presented to the Board? E. Eng: It was not a 10+1 issue. B. Turini: I disagree. Title 5 and AR2510 do stipulate that areas that impact budget process require mutual agreement. I think we agree that adjusting the reserves will impact the budget. Additionally there are at least one, if not four areas, in the accreditation standard that stipulate that this should have gone for constituent review. E. Eng: We were going to do this at Communication Council—I don't remember the date, but it was right after spring break, but then it was timing issue. B. Turini: Absent some sort of pressing issue, this could be sent out for constituent review.
- J. Ragan: Ed, I'd like to request that you return on April 23rd for our next meeting as a follow up. I'm sure the senators would like to take some of this back to their departments for comments.

9.4 RC Procedure Governing Program Revitalization, Consolidation, Suspension, and/or Discontinuance (Bill Turini)

- Postponed until the April 23rd meeting due to time constraints.

10. Future Agenda Items

- 10.1 Program Review procedures
- 10.2 Staff Development Committee procedures
- 10.3 DBRAAC
- 10.4 Program Review Rubric
- 10.5 REVISED District Integrated Planning Manual
- 10.6 RC Sabbatical Leave COA
- 10.7 New Course Outline of Record form
- 10.8 International Baccalaureate Examination
- 10.9 Academic Senate recommendations for timing of implementation of new evaluation forms

11. Officers' Reports

11.1 President – Jeff Ragan

11.2 MOFA – Jay Leech

11.3 Vice President for Senate Business – Lore Dobusch

11.4 Vice President for Curriculum – Pam Gilmore

11.5 Secretary – Rick Garza

11.6 State Representative – Stephanie Curry

11.7 Immediate Past President – Bill Turini

12. Other thoughts

- C. Montgomery: Would like to hear clarification on how the approved sabbaticals will be allocated. J. Ragan: My understanding is that all that has been determined is that seven were approved, and names from the last round were rolled over. The assumption is that two came from Reedley and five from Fresno City.

Meeting adjourned at 3:40 PM. Next Meeting April, 23rd.

DRAFT