Minutes

Present

Ashley Calhoun, Michael Cole, Veronica Cornel (for B. Warmerdam), Lore Dobusch, Toni Ensz, Ann Fallon, Nancy Frampton, Pam Gilmore, Nancy Marsh, Ron Reimer, Joshua Soderlund, Elaine Stamper, Michael van Wyhe, Sheryl Young-Manning

Absent

Jim Chin, Jan Dekker, Russell Hickey, Natasha Maryanow, David Richey, Chris Spomer, Michael White, ASG Representative

Visitors

John Fitzer, Kelly Fowler, Tom Mester

1. CALL TO ORDER

 Meeting called to order at 3:20 p.m.

2. ROLL

 Roll sheet was circulated.

3. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF April 24, 2014

Approved as submitted. (Ayes = 12, Abstentions=1 R. Reimer)

4. INTRODUCTION OF VISITORS

5. OPEN FORUM

6. ARTICULATION OFFICER UPDATE/COMMENTS

7. OLD BUSINESS

8. NEW BUSINESS

9. PRE-ECPC CONCERNS

 A. Biology 10 Introduction to Life Science Lecture

 B. Biology 10L Introduction to Life Science Lab

 C. Plan & Process for Content Review

 Biology 10 & 10L has this statement “not open to students with credit in Biology 3” added to the catalog description.

 Communication faculty followed the process for adding prerequisites using Content Review only. The process for content review has not been finalized by the curriculum committee.

 Title 5 requires the plan to allow Content Review only to be approved. The Chair and Michael van Wyhe wrote a draft plan which is being shared at this meeting, but it cannot be voted on.

 Can it be taken to ECPC to see if it appeases the pre-ECPC committee and be allowed to finalize in Fall?

 Pam Gilmore posted the question to the Curriculum Chair listserv but there has been no response.

 The process refers to the Matriculation Plan.

 Michael van Wyhe asked it the District has a Matriculation Plan.

 John Fitzer said he’s not aware of one.

 Michael van Wyhe asked if the plan has to be approved by the state Chancellor.

 Kelly Fowler explained the Matriculation Plan is in the process of being re-written, it is now SSSP.

 Michael van Wyhe asked if the plan will contain a process for Content Review.

 Pam Gilmore said the new plan was presented but not adopted due to Student Success and there was no reference to Content Review.

 Toni Ensz asked if Content Review is district wide.

 Pam Gilmore said it is by college.

 John Fitzer asked if the plan is unique or is part of the matriculation plan.

 AR 4260 was modified to comply with changes in Title 5 for Content Review only. It was understood the process in AR 4260 was to be the Plan for Content Review which is not the case.

 Approved forwarding the Content Review draft to ECPC as our Plan.

10. OTHER

11. ADJOURNMENT

 Meeting adjourned at 4:00 p.m.