

BP or AR Number	Notes	Posted to Website/BoardDocs	Finished
BP 1100	<p>On Board of Trustee's Agenda May 3, 2016 Introduction of Proposed Revised BP 1100 – Item 16-22 <u>Recommendation</u>: No action to revise the policy may be taken at this meeting. The proposed revision of BP 1100, with a name addition and three name revisions, is introduced at this meeting. At the subsequent regular board meeting, the administration will recommend adoption of the revised policy, at which time the Board of Trustees may consider and take action to adopt the revision.</p> <p><u>On Board of Trustee's Notes for May 3, 2016</u> Christine Miktarian explained the proposed changes to BP 1100. She advised the board that no action to revise the policy may be taken at this meeting. The proposed revision of BP 1100, with a name addition and three name revisions, is being introduced at this meeting. At the subsequent regular board meeting, administration will recommend adoption of the revised policy, at which time the Board of Trustees may consider and take action to adopt the revision.</p> <p><u>On Board of Trustee's Agenda for June 14, 2016</u> Consideration to Adopt Proposed Revised Board Policy 1100, "The State Center Community College District" <u>On Board of Trustee's Notes for June 14, 2016</u> Christine Miktarian presented information regarding revised Board Policy 1100. A motion was made by Trustee Kahn and seconded by Trustee Arias that the Board of Trustees adopt Board Policy 1100, The State Center Community College District, as amended during the presentation, with the words <i>Community College</i> added to Madera Center so it reads <i>Madera Community College Center</i>. The motion carried without dissent.</p> <p>Jo asked if the second read was approved at the <u>BOT's meeting on Tuesday, June 14, 2016</u> and Greg Taylor said "yes". This item is finalized and can now be posted to the website.</p>	<p>Jo sent an email to Paul Rentfrow and Don Lopez on 06/21/16 that this needs to be posted to the website.</p> <p><u>Jo updated to BoardDocs on 01/18/17.</u></p>	Yes
BP 1200	<p><u>On Board of Trustee's Agenda for August 2, 2016</u> Consideration to Accept Proposed Revised BP 1200, "District Mission, Vision and Core Values" <u>On Board of Trustee's Notes for August 2, 2016</u> The proposed revision of BP 1200, incorporating the changes to the district mission, vision, and values, is introduced at this meeting. No action is required. After discussion it was moved by Trustee Kahn and seconded by Trustee Leal that the Board of Trustees accept item 16-98G as a first reading. The motion passed.</p> <p><u>On Board of Trustee's Agenda for September 6, 2016</u> Consideration to Accept Proposed Revised BP 1200, "District Mission, Vision and Core Values" <u>On Board of Trustee's Notes for September 6, 2016</u> Chancellor Parnell presented proposed revised BP 1200 for the board's consideration. A motion was made by Trustee Nishinaka and seconded by Trustee Arias that the Board of Trustees adopt Board Policy 1200, "District Mission, Vision and Core Values," as presented. The motion passed.</p> <p><u>On Board of Trustee's Agenda for February 7, 2017 – First Read</u> Introduction of Proposed Revised Board Policy 1200, "District Mission, Vision, and Values"</p> <p><u>On Board of Trustee's Agenda for March 7, 2017 – Second Read</u> <u>Consideration to Adopt Proposed Revised Board Policy 1200, "District Mission, Vision, and Values"</u></p>	<p>Jo sent an email to Paul Rentfrow and Don Lopez on 09/09/16 that this needs to be posted to the website.</p> <p><u>Jo updated to BoardDocs on 01/18/17.</u></p>	Yes
BP 2010 and BP 2100	<p>On <u>Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda May 9, 2011.</u> On <u>Chancellor's Cabinet Notes May 9, 2011.</u> Cabinet discussed BPs 2010 and 2100. Mr. Taylor advised the BPs need to be changed to reflect the current configuration of the districts. He recommends more generic language with regards to the area description, as it changes over time. Mr. Taylor requested this item be placed back on the agenda for the May 23 meeting.</p> <p>On <u>Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda May 23, 2011.</u> On <u>Chancellor's Cabinet Notes May 23, 2011.</u></p>	<p>The Board has approved on 08/02/11.</p> <p>Greg sent to Robert Kim via email and asked that it be posted on the website. Jo sent Don Lopez an email on</p>	Yes

	<p>Mr. Taylor reviewed the board policies with cabinet. He stated the policies need to be updated. This item will return to Cabinet for review and recommendations at the June 20 cabinet meeting. The updated BPs will be presented to the Board for approval at the July board meeting.</p> <p><u>On Communications Council Agenda May 31, 2011.</u> <u>On Communications Council Notes May 31, 2011.</u> Mr. Taylor presented information on Board policies 2010 and 2100. Both policies are outdated. The policies describe the positions as at-large instead of by area. Chancellor's Cabinet is currently reviewing the policy in preparation to take the item to the July Board meeting. Mr. Taylor stated input on these is not required by Communications Council.</p> <p><u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda June 20, 2011.</u> <u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes June 20, 2011.</u> Mr. Taylor reviewed proposed changes to BP 2010 and 2100. Cabinet approved of changes. This item is on the July 5 board agenda for a first read. Communications Council will also look at the draft policies at the next meeting on July 26, 2011.</p> <p><u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes June 27, 2011.</u> Mr. Taylor reviewed proposed changes to BP 2010 and 2100. Cabinet approved of changes. This item is on the July 5 board agenda for a first read. Communications Council will also look at the draft policies at the next meeting on July 26, 2011.</p> <p><u>On Board of Trustee's Agenda for January 10, 2017.</u> BP 2100 - No revisions. Greg said it does not need to go to the BOT's again. We can now post to BoardDocs. It was reviewed by the Board on 01/10/17.</p>	<p>07/21/14 with the two BP's attached.</p> <p><u>Jo updated BP 2100 to BoardDocs on 01/18/17.</u></p>	
<p>BP 2012</p>	<p><u>On Board of Trustee's Agenda for January 10, 2017 – First Read</u> Introduction of Proposed Revised BP 2012, Board Duties and Responsibilities</p> <p><u>On Board of Trustee's Agenda for February 7, 2017 – Second Read</u> Consideration to Adopt Proposed Revised Board Policy 2012, "Board Duties and Responsibilities"</p>	<p><u>Jo updated BP 2012 to BoardDocs on 02/08/17.</u></p>	
<p>AR/BP 2015</p>	<p>Greg sent to Dr. Blue a memo on 10/31/13 re: BP 2015 which outlined his proposed revision and recommendations on how to proceed.</p> <p><u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda 10/12/15</u> Board Policy 2015, Student Trustees <u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes 10/12/15</u> Greg Taylor led a discussion regarding the Board Policy that applies to Student Trustees. The specific topic was how many student trustees SCCCD should have. He gave examples of what other multi college districts do. Some have multiple student trustees and some have one for the entire district. The consensus was that SCCCD should have one student trustee in the future. Presidents will take that information back to their campuses.</p> <p><u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes 10/12/15</u> Greg Taylor led a discussion regarding the Board Policy that applies to Student Trustees. The specific topic was how many student trustees SCCCD should have. He gave examples of what other multi college districts do. Some have multiple student trustees and some have one for the entire district. The consensus was that SCCCD should have one student trustee in the future. Presidents will take that information back to their campuses.</p> <p><u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes 11/16/15</u> Dr. Stewart asked Gregory Taylor to finish up the information he is working on regarding the student trustee issue.</p> <p><u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes 02/01/16</u> Also discussed was the Board Policy regarding student trustees. Gregory Taylor will follow up with information and possible recommendations for changes.</p> <p><u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes 02/22/16</u></p>	<p><i>In Progress</i></p>	

	<p>Student Trustee Issues – Greg Taylor reported information regarding the issue of Student Trustees now that there are three colleges and with the potential of four. He will continue to work on a recommendation for the future procedure and number of student trustees. He will take this issue to Communications Council.</p> <p><u>On Communications Council Notes 02/23/16</u> Greg Taylor explained how the selection and number of student trustees works now based on the district having two colleges. He is working on recommendations of how it should be handled now that there are three colleges and in the future potentially four. It is being discussed with student government through student services and current student trustees. He is willing to hear comments now or any time about thoughts on the subject. Sandra Caldwell suggested getting input from colleges and then bringing it back to Communications Council at the next meeting. He also stated that changing <u>AR 2510</u> would impact <u>AR 2015</u> and a small change would need to be made to it as well.</p> <p><u>On Chancellor’s Cabinet Agenda 10/10/16</u> FCC Student Trustee – BP 2015/2105 – Carole Goldsmith <u>On Chancellor’s Cabinet Notes 10/10/16</u> Carole Goldsmith reported to the group that there is some confusion regarding the student trustee policy. There was discussion about past discussions and actions regarding the possibility of changing the current policy. There has been past discussion about changing the number of student trustees from the current two to possibly one or three now that there are a three college district. Dr. Goldsmith advised that FCC believes they have a student trustee currently serving. Others were under the impression that FCC did not elect a student trustee and therefore the district only has one, the one from RC. The secretary was asked to look up documentation on the previous Chancellor’s Cabinet discussions and provide it to Dr. Goldsmith.</p> <p><u>On Chancellor’s Cabinet Agenda 10/17/16</u> FCC Student Trustee – BP 2015/2105 by Carole Goldsmith/Greg Taylor <u>On Chancellor’s Cabinet Notes 10/17/16</u> FCC Student Trustee – BP 2015/2105 – The issue of how many student trustees to have since the District has become a three college District was discussed. Also how to select the student trustee(s) when a number is decided. Gregory Taylor has done much research on this in the last year. The chancellor asked him to meet with ASG representatives and administrators from each campus and bring back a recommendation to chancellor’s cabinet. It was suggested that there is a possibility of taking this to the Board at their January meeting. A second issue is concerning the current student trustee situation at FCC. It was discussed that there has been some confusion and misunderstanding. FCC students are under the impression that they have elected a student trustee for the current year. Chancellor’s cabinet has not recognized that student trustee because of the manner in which she was selected. Only the student trustee selected by Reedley College has been active this year with the Board of Trustees.</p> <p>On Board of Trustee’s Agenda for March 7, 2017 Introduction of Proposed Revised BP 2015, Student Member – First Read</p>		
AR/BP 2020	<p>Per Greg’s directions Jo emailed BP 2020 to Doris Griffin on 07/23/12.</p> <p><u>On Chancellor’s Cabinet Agenda July 23, 2012.</u> <u>On Chancellor’s Cabinet Notes July 23, 2012.</u> Mr. Taylor gave background on the requirement to add this board policy/administrative regulation. If Cabinet agrees, he would like to add the new board policy to the September board agenda as an initial reading. It is not necessary, and he does not suggest, including the administrative regulation in the board item. The policy will be presented to Communications Council at the next meeting for their information, but does not require their approval.</p>	<p>AR 2020 was never put on Communications Council Agenda for informational purposes.</p> <p>BP 2020 was never put on the September board agenda as an initial reading.</p>	
BP/AR 2105	<p><u>On Chancellor’s Cabinet Agenda 10/10/16</u> FCC Student Trustee – BP 2015/2105 – Carole Goldsmith <u>On Chancellor’s Cabinet Notes 10/10/16</u> Carole Goldsmith reported to the group that there is some confusion regarding the student trustee policy. There was discussion about past discussions and actions regarding the possibility of changing the current policy. There has been past discussion about changing the number of student trustees from the current two to possibly one or three now that there are a three college district. Dr. Goldsmith advised that FCC believes they have a student trustee currently serving. Others were under the impression that</p>	<p><i>In progress</i></p>	

	<p>FCC did not elect a student trustee and therefore the district only has one, the one from RC. The secretary was asked to look up documentation on the previous Chancellor's Cabinet discussions and provide it to Dr. Goldsmith.</p> <p><u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda 10/17/16</u> FCC Student Trustee – BP 2015/2105 by Carole Goldsmith/Greg Taylor <u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes 10/17/16</u> FCC Student Trustee – BP 2015/2105 – The issue of how many student trustees to have since the District has become a three college District was discussed. Also how to select the student trustee(s) when a number is decided. Gregory Taylor has done much research on this in the last year. The chancellor asked him to meet with ASG representatives and administrators from each campus and bring back a recommendation to chancellor's cabinet. It was suggested that there is a possibility of taking this to the Board at their January meeting. A second issue is concerning the current student trustee situation at FCC. It was discussed that there has been some confusion and misunderstanding. FCC students are under the impression that they have elected a student trustee for the current year. Chancellor's cabinet has not recognized that student trustee because of the manner in which she was selected. Only the student trustee selected by Reedley College has been active this year with the Board of Trustees.</p> <p><u>On Board of Trustee's Agenda for March 7, 2017</u> Introduction of Proposed Revised BP 2105, "Election of Student Members" – First Read</p>		
BP 2210	<p>On <u>Board of Trustee's Agenda for January 10, 2017</u>. BP 2210 - No revisions. Greg said it does not need to go to the BOT's again. We can now post to BoardDocs. It was reviewed by the Board on 01/10/17.</p>	<u>Jo updated to BoardDocs on 01/18/17.</u>	Yes
BP 2220	<p>On <u>Board of Trustee's Agenda for January 10, 2017</u>. BP 2220 - No revisions. Greg said it does not need to go to the BOT's again. We can now post to BoardDocs. It was reviewed by the Board on 01/10/17.</p>	<u>Jo updated to BoardDocs on 01/18/17.</u>	Yes
BP 2260	<p>Memo to Communications Council from Gregory Taylor, General Counsel dated 07/24/14.</p> <p>Attached for your information please find proposed revisions to Board Policies 2260 "Board Representatives," 2735 "Board Member Travel," and 3600 "Auxiliary Organizations," that will be presented to the Board at the August 5 regular meeting, along with the Board agenda items describing the proposed revisions. The Board will not consider adoption of the revised policies until its September meeting.</p> <p>You will note that none of the policies touch on academic or professional matters that require consultation with the faculty, nor do they significantly affect staff or students such that they require consultation with those groups. Nevertheless, they are presented here for your information. Please let me know if you have any questions, comments, or concerns.</p> <p><u>On Communications Council Meeting Agenda on July 29, 2014.</u> Dr. Stewart reviewed the proposed changes to ARs 2735, 2260, and 3600. Dr. Patterson stated the district should consider establishing a districtwide legislative committee, in conjunction with the board appointed districtwide legislative member. Stephanie Curry stated she is concerned that a board policy is being considered to include a committee that does not exist. Dr. Patterson stated this is a proposed recommendation that will be considered by Communications Council before approval by the board.</p> <p><u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda for August 4, 2014.</u> <u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes for August 4, 2014.</u> This item was continued to a future Cabinet meeting.</p>	<i>In progress</i>	
BP 2270	<p>On <u>Board of Trustee's Agenda for January 10, 2017</u>. BP 2270 - No revisions. Greg said it does not need to go to the BOT's again. We can now post to BoardDocs. It was reviewed by the Board on 01/10/17.</p>	<u>Jo updated to BoardDocs on 01/18/17.</u>	Yes
BP 2305	<p>On <u>Board of Trustee's Agenda for January 10, 2017</u>. BP 2305 - No revisions. Greg said it does not need to go to the BOT's again. We can now post to BoardDocs. It was reviewed by the Board on 01/10/17.</p>	<u>Jo updated to BoardDocs on 01/18/17.</u>	Yes

BP 2310	On <u>Board of Trustee's Agenda for January 10, 2017</u> . BP 2310 – No revisions. Greg said it does not need to go to the BOT's again. We can now post to BoardDocs. It was reviewed by the Board on 01/10/17.	<u>Jo updated to BoardDocs on 01/18/17</u>	Yes
AR/BP 2405	<p>Memo to Chancellor's Cabinet from Greg regarding AR 2405.</p> <p>On <u>Communications Council Agenda August 28, 2012</u>. On <u>Communications Council Notes August 28, 2012</u>. Mr. Taylor presented a new board policy for Communications Council to review. No action by Communications Council is required. This item will be on the September board agenda. This board policy was developed because of an accreditation recommendation. If the Board approves, Cabinet will follow with the approval of AR 2405 to support the board policy.</p> <p>Dr. Blue stated the Board reviewed selected board policies during the April board retreat.</p> <p>On <u>Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda November 5, 2012</u>. On <u>Chancellor's Cabinet Notes November 5, 2012</u>. Mr. Taylor reviewed the proposed AR 2405. He said the board was presented with this information. <u>Cabinet adopted AR 2405.</u></p>	<p>The Board has approved on 10/02/12.</p> <p>Chancellor's Cabinet adopted AR 2405 on 11/05/12.</p> <p>These were posted by someone other than Jo. Jo sent a copy of both to Don Lopez on 07/21/14.</p>	Yes
AR/BP 2410	<p>On <u>Chancellor's Cabinet Notes December 20, 2010</u>.</p> <p>Mr. Taylor stated the last time board policies were updated was 2008. He said a process needs to be established to update policies as necessary. The process should be ongoing. He said Cabinet should identify issues, and a group (TBD) should work through the details. Dr. Blue stated this issue will be discussed at the next Communications Council meeting in January.</p> <p>On <u>Communications Council Agenda January 4, 2011</u>. On <u>Communications Council Notes January 4, 2011</u>. Mr. Taylor provided historical background and reviewed AR 2410 (Administrative Regulations) with Council. He said, since the 2008 implementation of the policy, there has been no further review. He stated review and revisions should be an ongoing process.</p> <p>Mr. Taylor said Dr. Blue is <u>requesting Communications Council review Board Policies</u> as well.</p> <p>Mr. Taylor asked Council if there was ever a working group in place to review recommendations from Cabinet. The working group or Council should be allowed a cycle of two meetings to allow for adequate review and input.</p> <p>Ms. Barnes said the discussion should take place during Communications Council to allow for more flexibility.</p> <p>Mr. Sorensen asked where the process starts. Mr. Taylor said there are annual proposed revisions from the California Community College League. In addition, anyone on campus who wishes to bring an issue with an AR forward may do so. Dr. Blue further stated the issue can be brought from a constituency group or a leadership group. Mr. Taylor advised not all issues at individual campuses need to be codified in an AR.</p> <p>Council agreed that the AR needs refining. Dr. Blue advised the Council to take the information to their constituency groups and return to Council with recommendations. In addition, Mr. Taylor will outline a pathway for a request for review of the AR. Mr. Taylor will draft revisions of AR 2410 based on Council recommendations, and bring back to the next Council meeting.</p> <p>Ms. DeKruif asked if an AR is open, is the entire AR up for discussion. Mr. Turini stated the Council could limit discussion or decide by consensus if other issues should be raised.</p> <p>Ms. Barnes asked why there is a reference to the Accreditation Standard. Mr. Taylor stated it is only a point of reference. Mr. Taylor will review the Higher Education Opportunity Act and advise what the correct citation should be.</p> <p>On <u>Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda January 26, 2011</u>.</p> <p>On <u>Communications Council Agenda January 27, 2011</u>. Deferred to 2-22-11. On <u>Communications Council Notes January 27, 2011</u>.</p>	<p>AR 2410 was adopted by Chancellor's Cabinet on 10/22/12.</p> <p>Jo has the finalized version and sent to Nina on 10/24/12. Jo did not post it somebody else did. Jo sent a copy to Don Lopez on 07/21/14.</p>	Yes

Updated as of 2/21/17

Dr. Blue advised that agenda item AR 2410 will be moved to the February 22, 2011 agenda.

On [Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda January 31, 2011](#).

On [Chancellor's Cabinet Notes January 31, 2011](#).

Mr. Taylor advised Cabinet that he reviewed AR 2410 with Communications Council. One of Council's questions/concerns was the mechanism that brings an AR to Cabinet. Council also requested the AR be changed to include students.

Mr. Taylor will bring draft revisions to AR 2410, the flow chart, and AR 2510 to the next Cabinet meeting, before moving to the VPs for review and discussion.

On [Communications Council Agenda February 22, 2011](#).

On [Communications Council Notes February 22, 2011](#).

Mr. Taylor stated the draft for Administrative Regulation 2410 is complete and will be sent to Cabinet for review.

Mr. Taylor requested that Administrative Regulation 2410 be placed on the March 29, 2011 Communications Council agenda.

There are concerns about citing the accreditation standards in the Board policy.

On [Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda March 21, 2011](#).

On [Chancellor's Cabinet Notes March 21, 2011](#).

Mr. Taylor presented information on the proposed revisions for AR2410. He presented a flow chart with details of the process. A concern was raised that a college president or administrator could prevent a recommendation from being presented to Cabinet.

Mr. Taylor advised that a college president or administrator has a duty to present such a recommendation, even if they personally do not agree with it.

Mr. Taylor will present a draft of the text of the revised AR 2410 at the next cabinet meeting.

On [Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda March 28, 2011](#).

On [Chancellor's Cabinet Notes March 28, 2011](#).

Mr. Taylor presented the draft text for AR 2410. Dr. Kershaw recommended keeping the accreditation standard reference. Dr.

Hioco recommended separating the language for the administrative regulation and the board policy to avoid confusion.

On [Communications Council Agenda March 29, 2011](#).

On [Communications Council Notes March 29, 2011](#).

Mr. Taylor recapped some of the issues regarding AR 2410.

1. It is unclear how constituency groups could bring a recommendation before Cabinet
2. How is the working group composed?

Mr. Taylor provided a red-lined draft of the proposed AR 2410, as well as a flow chart for illustration. The revised AR includes elimination of the working group.

Dr. Barnes requested that it be noted for the record that her comments regarding this discussion are not considered negotiations.

Dr. Barnes noted that the bargaining units are different than constituency groups. How is this addressed in the process? She asked that although California Community College League makes recommendations regarding AR's and BP's, should the district's human resource department include their recommendations as well? Dr. Blue advised Mr. Taylor to meet with Mr. Randy Rowe and Ms. Barnes to further discuss this issue.

Ms. DeKruif expressed concern that constituency groups do not have equal access to get their recommendations to the Chancellor's Cabinet. Mr. Taylor stated that the college governance process should not be bypassed.

Dr. Barnes would like to see future discussion about a district senate.

Mr. Turini asked if a recommendation needed to be a "full-blown" written proposal, or a simple request for an AR to be reviewed. Mr. Taylor stated that the Chancellor's Cabinet is responsible for drafting the revisions based on recommendations.

The draft AR will be taken back to the constituency groups for review and returned to Communications Council with recommendations.

On [Communications Council Agenda April 26, 2011](#).

On [Communications Council Notes April 26, 2011](#).

Mr. Taylor requested feedback on the draft AR 2410. Both academic senates requested more time to review. This item will be placed on the next Communications Council agenda for discussion.

On [Communications Council Agenda May 31, 2011](#).

On [Communications Council Notes May 31, 2011](#).

Mr. Taylor asked if Council received feedback from their constituency groups. Ms. Habib advised the second read will not be until August. Mr. Turini stated the Reedley process is lengthy. The turnaround is about two months. He commented that the general concern is the filter process of taking something through Chancellor's Cabinet by way of a college president or senior management, instead of taking a concern directly to Communications Council. Ms. Barnes said a district governance process may solve this problem. Mr. Taylor will bring this item back to Council in August.

On [Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda September 26, 2011](#).

On [Chancellor's Cabinet Notes September 26, 2011](#).

Mr. Taylor is working on a spreadsheet of BPs and Ars identifying who is responsible for review and update. This spreadsheet will include references to CCLC policy updates. Mr. Taylor requested input from Cabinet by October 17, 2011. Staff needs to review the current policies and regulations to see if we are complying, then decide if any need revision.

Mr. Taylor advised Cabinet that AR 2410 (Policy and Administrative Regulations) needs to be revised before other Ars are looked at for revision. The draft of the AR was presented to Communications Council for review at the January 2011 meeting. To date, the academic senates have not provided a response. Mr. Taylor said this item is on the next Communications Council agenda set for September 27, 2011. He expects to have the academic senate's comments following the meeting and will return this item to the October 3 Cabinet meeting for consideration.

On [Communications Council Agenda September 27, 2011](#).

On [Communications Council Notes September 27, 2011](#).

Mr. Taylor stated he received input from Fresno City College. Dr. Habib said one of the changes FCC academic senate proposes is allowing any constituency group to propose a new or revised AR. Mr. Turini said the Reedley academic senate will review the draft at today's meeting. Mr. Taylor said that it is critical to finalize this AR, because there are a number of Ars that need to be addressed and cannot move forward until AR 2410 is in place.

On [Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda October 3, 2011](#).

On [Chancellor's Cabinet Notes October 3, 2011](#).

Mr. Taylor stated he has reviewed the comments from FCC academic senate, and is waiting for comments from Reedley College academic senate.

On [Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda November 28, 2011](#).

On [Chancellor's Cabinet Notes November 28, 2011](#).

Mr. Taylor reviewed Reedley College Academic Senate's recommendations for revisions to AR 2410. The recommendations will be reviewed at Communications Council on November 29. Mr. Taylor will report back to Cabinet on December 12.

On [Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda February 1, 2012](#).

On [Chancellor's Cabinet Notes February 1, 2012](#).

This item will be moved to the February 6, 2012, Cabinet meeting.

On [Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda February 6, 2012](#).

On [Chancellor's Cabinet Notes February 6, 2012](#).

Mr. Taylor reviewed Communications Council's proposals for changes to AR 2410. Cabinet proposed additional language changes for the administrative regulation. The draft will be reviewed at the next Communications Council meeting on February 28, 2012.

On Communications Council Agenda March 27, 2012.

On Communications Council Notes March 27, 2012.

Dr. Blue stated the reason this AR was brought forward is because the district have a responsibility to review AR's and BP's on a regular basis. The last update and review was in 2008. Mr. Taylor reviewed the timeline of the review and recommendations by Communications Council for AR 2410. This AR has been under review by district constituency groups since January 2011.

Jeff Ragan said the Reedley Academic Senate requested additional time to review the AR because the time allotted was not adequate. The senate adopted a resolution opposing the Chancellor's Cabinet's adoption of the revised AR2410.

Dr. Blue stated the adoption of this AR does not require mutual agreement. Dr. Blue will attend the next Reedley College Academic Senate meeting on April 10, 2012, to discuss AR 2410.

On Communications Council Agenda July 31, 2012.

On Communications Council Notes July 31, 2012.

This item was continued to the August meeting.

On Communications Council Agenda August 28, 2012.

On Communications Council Notes August 28, 2012.

Greg Taylor reviewed the draft Administrative Regulation 2410 and provided a copy for review.

Mr. Taylor asked for a deadline from Communications Council for their input. Mr. Cantu stated it would be important to bring this back at the next meeting in order to have this resolved before the accreditation visit. Mr. Taylor stated the constituency groups could report back to Mr. Taylor directly so Cabinet can consider their recommendations before the October visit. Dr. Habib and Mr. Ragan stated they will contact Mr. Taylor after their next senate meeting.

Dr. Blue thanked the Reedley College Academic Senate for inviting her to their meeting last May in order to address this issue. Mr. Ragan said the senate appreciated Dr. Blue's participation in the meeting.

On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda October 1, 2012.

On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes October 1, 2012.

Mr. Taylor reviewed the draft of AR 2410. He received comments from Reedley College's academic senate. Dr. Habib asked for additional time for the Fresno City College academic senate to consider the AR. Mr. Taylor will advise Mr. Ragan and Dr. Habib that Cabinet will consider the revised AR 2410 for adoption during the October 15, 2012 Cabinet meeting. This item will return to the October 15, 2012 Cabinet agenda for consideration.

On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda October 15, 2012.

On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes October 15, 2012.

Mr. Taylor stated he did not receive comments from the Fresno City College and Willow International Academic Senate. Dr. Blue advised Mr. Taylor to contact Jeff Burdick and Claudia Habib for their comments and advise that Cabinet will consider AR2410 for adoption at the October 22 cabinet meeting.

On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda October 22, 2012.

On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes October 22, 2012.

Mr. Taylor recommended Cabinet adopt AR 2410 as revised AR 2410 was adopted by Cabinet.

On Communications Council Agenda October 30, 2012.

On Communications Council Notes October 30, 2012.

Mr. Taylor stated Administrative Regulation 2410 was adopted by Cabinet on October 22, 2012.

On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda April 17, 2013.

On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes April 17, 2013.

Continued to the April 24, 2013 Cabinet meeting.

On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda April 24, 2013.

	<p>On <u>Chancellor's Cabinet Notes April 24, 2013</u>. Cabinet discussed how the district operationalizes AR 2410. Mr. Taylor is establishing a process to address changes that are recommended by the League. Mr. Taylor presented a draft routing slip for requests to amend or add AR's and BP's.</p>		
BP 2430	<p>On <u>Board of Trustee's Agenda for January 10, 2017</u>. BP 2430 – No revisions. Greg said it does not need to go to the BOT's again. We can now post to BoardDocs. It was reviewed by the Board on 01/10/17. Claudette informed Jo/Greg that one word needs to be changed on BP 2430. It should be "provided". Claudette said Greg did not think it needed to go back for a second read but he would decide how to handle this one. Waiting to hear from Greg on how we are going to handle this one.</p> <p>Claudette's email to Greg on 01/28/17 "Greg: At the last board meeting the board made a minor change to BP 2430 "Information provided to any trustee shall be available provided to all trustees. Is this change substantial enough that this BP should be back on the agenda for a second read and approval at the February board meeting. When the item came up the board had "consensus" but they did not officially vote on it at that time. Please let me know.</p> <p>Jo spoke with Greg on 02/15/17 and showed him the change and Greg stated to Jo that Trustee Miguel Arias wanted this changed. Greg said we are not changing it. Jo has loaded the correct version into BoardDocs as of 02/15/17.</p>	<i>Jo updated to BoardDocs on 02/15/17</i>	Yes
AR/BP 2510 [See some notes also listed under BP 6200 RE: AR 2510]	<p>On <u>Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda January 31, 2011</u>. On <u>Chancellor's Cabinet Notes January 31, 2011</u>. Mr. Taylor advised Cabinet that he reviewed AR 2410 with Communications Council. One of Council's questions/concerns was the mechanism that brings an AR to Cabinet. Council also requested the AR be changed to include students.</p> <p>Mr. Taylor will bring draft revisions to AR 2410, the flow chart, and AR 2510 to the next Cabinet meeting, before moving to the VPs for review and discussion.</p> <p><u>AR 2510</u> and Communications Council retreat debrief will be added to the February 22, 2011 agenda.</p> <p>On <u>Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda April 17, 2013</u>. On <u>Chancellor's Cabinet Notes April 17, 2013</u>. Continued to the April 24, 2013 Cabinet meeting.</p> <p>On <u>Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda April 24, 2013</u>. On <u>Chancellor's Cabinet Notes April 24, 2013</u>. Cabinet discussed how the district operationalizes AR 2410. Mr. Taylor is establishing a process to address changes that are recommended by the League. Mr. Taylor presented a draft routing slip for requests to amend or add AR's and BP's.</p> <p>Cabinet will review <u>AR 2510</u> at the <u>April 29, 2013 meeting</u>.</p> <p>On <u>Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda April 29, 2013</u>. On <u>Chancellor's Cabinet Notes April 29, 2013</u>. Mr. Taylor reviewed board policy 2510 and administrative regulation 2510. Dr. Blue recommended removing the word shared and instead using the word participatory. Dr. Blue recommended including the Vice Chancellor of Education and Institutional Effectiveness as part of Communications Council. It was noted that AR 2510 does not identify who chairs Communications Council. All references to vice chancellor of North Centers needs to be changed in all AR's. Mr. Taylor will make the recommended revisions and bring this back to a future Cabinet meeting.</p> <p>On <u>Communications Council Agenda April 30, 2013</u>. On <u>Communications Council Notes April 30, 2013</u>. Dr. Blue advised Communications Council that Cabinet is continuing to review AR 2510.</p> <p>On <u>Communications Council Agenda December 3, 2013</u>. On <u>Communications Council Notes December 3, 2013</u>.</p>	<p>AR 2510 has been approved by Chancellor's Cabinet on 06/20/16</p> <p>Jo sent an email to Paul Rentfrow and Don Lopez on 08/23/16 that this needs to be posted to the website.</p>	Yes

Mr. Cantu stated it is time to begin the discussion on the AR and BP to determine when an item is ten plus one, or mutual agreement. Mr. Cantu stated he is concerned about requests for additional revisions, once a constituency group makes the initial request, when it returns to them for approval. Mr. Cantu said the timelines need to be adhered to. Ms. Curry recommends clear direction on what the expectation should be. Dr. Valentino stated the definition of the terms needs to be identified. Dr. Barnes requested to be included in the decision-making taskforce.

On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda November 17, 2014 – BP/AR 2510

On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes November 17, 2014 – BP/AR 2510

Dr. Railey reviewed the draft Administrative Regulations and board policy. AR 2510 will be brought back to the December 1, 2014 Cabinet meeting with recommended changes.

On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda December 1, 2014 – AR 2510

On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes December 1, 2014 – AR 2510

Dr. Railey reviewed the latest draft of AR 2510. This item will return to a future Cabinet meeting.

On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda December 8, 2014 – AR 2510

On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes December 8, 2014 – AR 2510

Dr. Railey reviewed the latest draft AR 2510. Cabinet approved the draft with one minor change. The campus presidents and Dr. Railey will review the decision making process prior to sending this item through the constituency process.

On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda March 16, 2015

AR 2510 Roles of Constituents in District Decision Making (Draft)

On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes March 16, 2015

AR 2510 Roles of Constituents in District Decision Making (Draft)

Dr. Railey presented the latest draft of AR 2510. Cabinet provided recommended changes and approved as corrected.

Dr. Railey presented the latest draft of the Roles of Constituents in District Decision Making process. Cabinet provided input. Dr.

Railey will bring the revised draft to the next Cabinet meeting.

Cabinet discussed student trustee representation on the SCCCD Board. Greg Taylor will research the Ed code and report back to Cabinet.

On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda April 27, 2015

AR 2510 and Roles for Constituents in Decision Making

On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes April 27, 2015

Dr. Caldwell and Deborah Ikeda made recommendations for changes to AR 2510. Dr. Caldwell requested input from Cabinet by Thursday, April 30.

On Communications Council Notes April 28, 2015

AR 2510 was approved by Chancellor's Cabinet and will be presented to Communication Council as an informational item in the coming weeks.

On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda May 4, 2015

On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes May 4, 2015

Sandra Caldwell presented the latest draft of AR 2510. The campuses presidents will take this to the college councils for their review.

On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda August 24, 2014

AR 2510 – Participation in Local Decision-making

On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes August 24, 2015

After a brief discussion it was determined that the college presidents would meet with their senate presidents.

On Communications Council Notes September 29, 2015

Elizabeth Romero reported for Clovis Community College regarding AR's 7700, 7128 and 2510.

On Communications Council Notes 01/05/16

Wendell Stephenson, FCC – reported that they had met on 12/09/15. He reported that AR 2510 and AR 7120 were approved.

On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda 02/22/16

AR 2510

On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes 02/22/16

Sandra Caldwell reported that both of these Ars (AR 2510 and AR 7120) are ready to proceed to Communications Council.

On Communications Council Agenda 02/23/16

AR 2510

On Communications Council Notes 02/23/16

Liz Romero, CCC – working on AR's 2510.

Sandra Caldwell reported that these two Ars (AR 2510 and AR 7120) have been through the constituency process and are ready to go. Susi Nitzel said that FCC Classified Senate has not seen AR 2510. Sandra Caldwell and Cynthia Azari both thought it has gone through them. Dr. Azari will check on it.

Greg Taylor explained how the selection and number of student trustees works now based on the district having two colleges. He is working on recommendations of how it should be handled now that there are three colleges and in the future potentially four. It is being discussed with student government through student services and current student trustees. He is willing to hear comments now or any time about thoughts on the subject. Sandra Caldwell suggested getting input from colleges and then bringing it back to Communications Council at the next meeting. He also stated that changing AR 2510 would impact AR 2015 and a small change would need to be made to it as well.

Diane informed Jo that Chancellor's Cabinet has approved this AR and all constituent groups. If so, who has the latest draft and Jo needs it to post to the website.

According to Susi Nitzel on 04/13/16 that has not been approved by Classified Senate. It is going to Classified Senate at FCC on 04/20/16 per Susi. According to Susi Academic Senate at RC has some changes but Classified Senate at FCC does not agree with these.

Susi Nitzel sent Jo and email on 04/22/16 that said the following: "The Fresno City College & District Office Classified Senate voted to not approve AR 2510 at our meeting yesterday. FCC Classified Senate's issue is that only the Academic Senates are mentioned under this heading of Participatory Governance. In researching other colleges' similar AR's (Compton Community College, Galvan College, El Camino, Ohlone College, just to name a few), they all include language on each represented constituent group (faculty, classified & students). Since our corresponding Board Policy already does that, Classified Senate wondered what's the purpose of AR 2510. We concluded it sets up the Communications Council, a "venue for college/district constituency groups to participate in local decision-making." To that end, we recommend the AR end after setting up the council. Realizing the Academic Senates may have an issue with this, the FCC & DO Classified Senate alternatively recommends the AR include language about students and classified professionals along with the faculty.

Jo received a telephone call from Dr. Sandra Caldwell on 04/22/16 and she stated: FCC somehow dropped the ball on this one.

Jo was informed by Dr. Caldwell and Cheryl Sullivan that this is on the agenda for the first week in June 2016. It should go back to Chancellor's Cabinet for final approval on June 6th or 13th. Then back to Communications Council for informational only purposes. This should be ready to go in June 2016 to post to the website.

On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda 06/20/16

AR 2510 – Cheryl Sullivan/Barbara Hioco

On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes 06/20/16

AR 2510 – Cheryl Sullivan and Barbara Hioco brought AR 2510 before the group. This AR has been in the revision process for a long time. It went before the Chancellor's Cabinet, the Communications Council and on to all the constituency groups except the FCC Classified Senate. It has been such a long process that the Chancellor's Cabinet is going to approve it now. Interim FCC President Cheryl Sullivan agreed. She has made the Classified Senate aware that she was going to approve it, knowing that it could be brought back in the fall for another revision if necessary. It was noted that there has been no change to BP 2510.

	<p><u>On Communications Council Agenda 07/26/16</u> AR 2510 – Informational <u>On Communications Council Notes 07/26/16</u> -Waiting on Communication Council notes from B. Martin to update</p> <p><u>On Chancellor’s Cabinet Agenda 09/12/16</u> AR 2510 and Roles for Constituents (Please bring your materials from the last meeting.) <u>On Chancellor’s Cabinet Notes 09/12/16</u> AR 2510 and Roles for Constituents (Please bring your materials from the last meeting.) Sandra Caldwell gave information on Roles of Constituents in District Decision Making. The difference in committees, workgroups and task forces was discussed. Dr. Caldwell explained how the participatory governance process works and how other items that don’t require participatory governance work and how everyone is informed. This is in relation to AR 2510. The document she presented to the group is the final document.</p>		
AR 2712	<p><u>Board of Trustees Agenda dated September 7, 2010</u> 10-28-HR – Consideration to Approve Amendments to Administrative Regulation 2712 – Conflict of Interest Code Exhibit – 2010 Multi-County Agency Biennial Notice and Amendments to Administrative Regulation <u>Background</u> Administrative Regulation 2712 sets forth the individuals who are to be covered under the District’s conflict of interest code per the Political Reform Act, Government Code Sections 81000 et. Seq. Additions to the conflict of interest code reflected in bold print, and revisions to the code are reflected with a strike through. The changes are found on AR 2712(n) & (o). Specifically, the word “Associate” is being added to the Vice Chancellor of Workforce Development and Educational Services, and the Director of College Activities has changed to Director of “Student” Activities. The title of Director of Honors Program position is being changed to Director of Institutional Research, Assessment and Planning. In compliance with the Fair Political Practices Commission regulations, the proposed revised Administrative Regulation will be sent to these three employees, and the Notice of the proposed changes will be posted on the District bulletin board following board action. <u>Recommendation</u> It is recommended the Board of Trustees direct the Chancellor to notify the Fair Political Practices Commission of the District’s need to amend Administrative Regulation 2712 – Conflict of Interest Code and approve such amendments as presented.</p> <p><u>Board of Trustees Minutes dated September 7, 2010</u> Direct the Chancellor to notify the Fair Political Practices Commission of the District’s need to amend AR 2712 – Conflict of Interest Code and approve such amendments, as presented.</p>	AR 2712 needs to be updated to reflect the changes that the BOT’s approved on Sept. 7, 2010.	
BP 2715	<p><u>On Board of Trustee’s Agenda for January 10, 2017.</u> BP 2715 - No revisions. Greg said it does not need to go to the BOT’s again. We can now post to BoardDocs. It was reviewed by the Board on 01/10/17.</p>	<u>Jo updated to BoardDocs on 01/18/17</u>	Yes
BP 2735	<p><u>On Chancellor’s Cabinet Agenda July 21, 2014.</u> Greg Taylor provided a memo to Chancellor’s Cabinet Re: Proposed revision of BP 2735, “Board Member Travel” Attached please find a draft revised Board Policy 2735, “Board Member Travel,” that reflects changes proposed by Dr. Stewart, in consultation with Board President Patterson. It is presented to Cabinet today for any comments prior to finalizing the proposed revision. It will be presented to the Board at its regular meeting on August 5, 2014, and then again at its September meeting for adoption.</p> <p>Further, it is proposed that this draft revision be attached to the Chancellor’s management memorandum to the Board this week, with a note to this effect:</p> <p>Attached you will find a proposed revised Board Policy 2735, “Board Member Travel,” that will be presented at your August 5, meeting. No action will be taken on the policy at that meeting. The Board will then consider adopting the revised policy at the following regular meeting in September.</p> <p>The second and third paragraphs of this revised Board Policy 2735 are entirely new, and are intended to create a framework for the Board to collectively take an active part in identifying and approving those travel opportunities in which its members will</p>	On hold at this time until next year’s budget cycle.	

Updated as of 2/21/17

participate. This would include identifying in advance those conferences and events to which its members will travel in the upcoming year at each December organizational meeting. This will allow the Board to efficiently maximize its ability to travel as necessary for the business of the District, within its established budget. It also proposes a mechanism by which the Chancellor and Board President can approve additional board member travel that may be necessary throughout the year that was not anticipated in the December organizational meeting. It also makes clear that travel by board members who are officers or committee members of national and state community college organizations will be approved and reimbursed by the District, to the extent such travel is not paid for by those organizations.

The fourth paragraph is currently included in Administrative Policy 2735, and we think it advisable for it to instead be included in the Board Policy.

Finally, though not included BP 2735, trustees should be aware that Cabinet has recently considered the per diem reimbursement rates for meals, established in AR 7400. "Travel." Those rates, which have not been adjusted for several years, are currently set at \$7, \$9, and \$19 for breakfast, lunch, and dinner, respectively. Comparisons to other local agencies, school and college districts, and state and federal agencies demonstrates that those rates are low, which can pose a hardship for those that travel on District business. Therefore, Cabinet intends to raise the rates to \$10, \$15, and \$30, respectively. These rates will become effective in 2015-2016 budget year, as the campuses and other departments have already established their travel budgets in the present year on the basis of the current rates. Though this change to AR 7400 does not require Board action, please feel free to contact me with any questions, comments, or concerns.

On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes for July 21, 2014

Proposed revised BP 2735, - Board Member Travel – Greg Taylor reviewed the draft and board policy 2735.

Memo to Communications Council from Gregory Taylor, General Counsel dated 07/24/14.

Attached for your information please find proposed revisions to Board Policies 2260 "Board Representatives," 2735 "Board Member Travel," and 3600 "Auxiliary Organizations," that will be presented to the Board at the August 5 regular meeting, along with the Board agenda items describing the proposed revisions. The Board will not consider adoption of the revised policies until its September meeting.

You will note that none of the policies touch on academic or professional matters that require consultation with the faculty, nor do they significantly affect staff or students such that they require consultation with those groups. Nevertheless, they are presented here for your information. Please let me know if you have any questions, comments, or concerns.

On Communications Council Meeting Agenda on July 29, 2014.

Dr. Stewart reviewed the proposed changes to Ars 2735, 2260, and 3600.

Dr. Patterson stated the district should consider establishing a districtwide legislative committee, in conjunction with the board appointed districtwide legislative member. Stephanie Curry stated she is concerned that a board policy is being considered to include a committee that does not exist. Dr. Patterson stated this is a proposed recommendation that will be considered by Communications Council before approval by the board.

On Board of Trustee's Agenda for August 5, 2015

On Board of Trustee's Minutes for August 5, 2014

Dr. Stewart presented proposed Board Policy 2735. No action is required at this meeting. It is recommended that the Board review and comment on the proposed revised Board Policy 2735. The Board may not act to adopt the revised policy until the following regular meeting, when it will be placed on the agenda for consideration of adoption.

No Action was taken.

Trustee Payne is concerned about directing Board members to attend certain events and not allow them flexibility to attend alternate events. He also mentioned that the Board does not regulate the Chancellor's travel in terms of approval. He noted that other post-secondary institutions do have such a policy. Dr. Stewart stated he reviews all of his travel with the Board President. Trustee Leal agreed with Trustee Payne's comments. Trustee Payne stated he is not able to support the proposed Board policy as written.

	<p>The board also discussed the District's per diem policy. The item will be discussed at the August 21, 2014, Board workshop.</p> <p>On <u>Special Board Meeting Agenda for August 21, 2014</u>. Dr. Stewart reviewed the draft Board Policy 2735 on Boardmember travel. He stated the Board could also consider dividing the budget equally between board members.</p> <p>The Board agreed to keep the current policy and continue to attend the conferences sponsored by organizations with which the Board has an affiliation. If there are no other conferences that a Board member wants to attend, it can be reviewed on a case by case basis by the Board.</p> <p>John Leal requested the District re-visit the per diem for the Board and District employees. Dr. Stewart stated this will be addressed during the next budget cycle.</p> <p>Trustee Patterson stated the Board as a whole should decide if other conferences or events are appropriate.</p> <p>Trustee Payne noted that there are other affiliations and organizations such as the League for Innovation in Community Colleges that could offer important information for the District members and Board members.</p> <p>This item needs to be addressed during the next budget cycle. Jo sent Patricia G. an email on 04/14/15 and requested that this one needs to be reviewed during the next budget cycle. Patricia said it should go to Greg or Nina it belongs to the Chancellor's Office.</p>		
BP 2745	<p>On <u>Board of Trustee's Agenda for January 10, 2017</u>. BP 2745 – No revisions. Greg said it does not need to go to the BOT's again. We can now post to BoardDocs. It was reviewed by the Board on 01/10/17.</p>	<p><i>Jo updated to BoardDocs on <u>01/18/17</u></i></p>	Yes
AR 3100	<p>No document is listed for AR 3100 so the table of contents on the website needs to be updated to reflect this change.</p>	<p>This has been corrected.</p>	Yes
BP/AR 3250	<p><u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda November 17, 2014 – BP/AR 3250</u> <u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes November 17, 2014 – BP/AR 3250</u> Dr. Railey reviewed the draft Administrative Regulations and Board Policy. Cabinet approved <u>Board Policy and Administrative Regulation 3250</u>.</p> <p>(Janet please work with Dr. Railey and find out the status.)</p>	<p><i>In progress</i></p>	
AR 3280	<p><u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda June 24, 2013</u>. <u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes June 24, 2013</u>. Dr. Conner reviewed a draft of Administrative Regulation 3280-Grant Application and Submittal Process. She reviewed the Phase I; campus approval process and a notice of interest form, Phase II; grant preparation and submittal; and Phase III post-grant and project implementation. Cabinet provided input and recommended for changes to the documents. Cabinet will review the documents with their executive teams. This will come back to Cabinet at a future meeting for approval.</p> <p><u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda September 23, 2013</u>. <u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes September 23, 2013</u>. Cabinet reviewed the draft of the approval and submittal process for Administrative Regulation 3280.</p> <p><u>Cabinet approved the process with recommended changes</u>. The item will be <u>presented to Communications Council for information only</u>.</p> <p><u>On Communications Council Agenda October 8, 2013</u>. <u>On Communications Council Notes October 8, 2013</u>.</p>	<p><i>In progress</i></p>	

	<p>Dr. Railey reviewed the draft submittal process for AR 3280. Dr. Blue stated this process is a result of the administrative review (ASUR) of the grants department. Dr. Barnes asked if there was discussion regarding funding for positions or the use of existing personnel, especially if a positions existence relies on the funding. Dr. Railey stated the process and grant application forms thoroughly address all requirements and resources to administer the grant, including personnel. Dr. Railey stated this is an informational item for the constituency groups. Any concerns regarding the document should be directed to Dr. Railey and Dr. Shelly Conner.</p> <p>On Communications Council Agenda October 29, 2013. On Communications Council Notes October 29, 2013. Dr. Railey reviewed the process for AR3280. Jeff Ragan introduced this to the RC academic on October 22 as an informational item. Given the influence grants have on curriculum and other areas of 10 plus one, the senate believes this process should come to them for consideration and approval. He asked if the district grants office is to only assist campuses with grant applications. Dr. Railey replied the district would take the lead depending on the requirements of the granting institution. RC senate requests that this item be considered as a 10 plus one issue. If not, then Jeff Ragan requested that Dr. Railey or Dr. Shelly Conner explain the reasons this is not a 10 plus 1 issue to the senate on October 22. Deborah Ikeda recommended this item be placed on the next Chancellor's Cabinet meeting agenda. Jeff Ragan and Mary Ann Valentino will send their concerns in writing to the Chancellor's office to be placed on the November 11, 2013 Cabinet agenda. Mr. Cantu asked if the approval process and submittal is a concern. Jeff Ragan replied, yes it is... Mr. Cantu stated that campus processes should be reviewed as well. Deborah Ikeda stated she believes this a procedural process. The issue with grants is that it is a time sensitive process with deadlines, which is why this process has been put into place. Dr. Barnes is also concerned about sustainability and personnel, once a grant has been approved and accepted.</p>		
<p>AR 3420</p>	<p>On Communications Council Agenda on October 26, 2010. On Communications Council Notes for October 26, 2010. Mr. Rowe provided historical background on Proposition 209. When Prop 209 passed in 1996, the State Chancellor's Office responded with amended regulations in August 12, 2002, replacing affirmative action with equal employment opportunity (EEO) planning and hiring requirements. However, issues remained with the revised Title 5 regulations. The proposed revisions to Title 5 will be presented to the Consultation Council on November 18, 2010. When approved by the Board of Governors, hopefully in the spring of 2011, community college districts' diversity committees will use these new regulations to complete their Model Equal Employment Opportunity Plans. Mr. Rowe recommended forming a committee in early spring in anticipation of the new regulations completion. Mr. Rowe said he will prepare a background summary for the Council to be distributed at the next meeting.</p>	<p><i>In progress</i></p>	
<p>AR 3435</p>	<p>On Communications Council Agenda January 4, 2011. On Communications Council Notes January 4, 2011. Ms. DeKruif stated there is an inconsistency regarding the timelines in AR 3435 and 5530. Mr. Taylor agreed and stated the language is incorrect. These AR's will be reviewed.</p>	<p><i>In progress</i></p>	
<p>BP 3600</p>	<p>Memo to Communications Council from Gregory Taylor, General Counsel dated 07/24/14.</p> <p>Attached for your information please find proposed revisions to Board Policies 2260 "Board Representatives," 2735 "Board Member Travel," and 3600 "Auxiliary Organizations," that will be presented to the Board at the August 5 regular meeting, along with the Board agenda items describing the proposed revisions. The Board will not consider adoption of the revised policies until its September meeting.</p> <p>You will note that none of the policies touch on academic or professional matters that require consultation with the faculty, nor do they significantly affect staff or students such that they require consultation with those groups. Nevertheless, they are presented here for your information. Please let me know if you have any questions, comments, or concerns.</p> <p>On Communications Council Meeting Agenda on July 29, 2014. Dr. Stewart reviewed the proposed changes to ARs 2735, 2260, and 3600. Dr. Patterson stated the district should consider establishing a districtwide legislative committee, in conjunction with the board appointed districtwide legislative member. Stephanie Curry stated she is concerned that a board policy is being considered to include a committee that does not exist. Dr. Patterson stated this is a proposed recommendation that will be considered by Communications Council before approval by the board.</p>	<p>The Board has approved on 09/02/14.</p> <p>Jo sent an email to Robert Kim and Don Lopez on 08/17/15 that this needs to be posted to the website.</p>	<p>Yes</p>

	<p>On Board of Trustee's Agenda for August 5, 2014 On Board of Trustee's Minutes for August 5, 2014 Greg Taylor presented proposed revised Board Policy 3600. No action is required at this meeting. It is recommended that the Board review and comment on the proposed revised Board Policy 3600. The Board may not act to adopt the revised policy until the following regular meeting, when it will be placed on the agenda for consideration of adoption. <u>No action was required.</u></p> <p>On Board of Trustee's Agenda for September 2, 2014 On Board of Trustee's Minutes for September 2, 2014</p> <p>Jo finalized and had Greg review it. Greg approved it and Jo has requested that it be posted to the website.</p>		
<p>AR/BP 4020</p>	<p>On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda June 17, 2013. On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes June 17, 2013. Dr. Railey reviewed AR 4020. Dr. Railey will be bringing proposed revisions to a future Cabinet meeting for discussion.</p> <p>On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes August 26, 2013. Dr. Railey presented a draft of BP 4020 with changes recommended by the Community College League of California. It will align with legally mandated changes by the U.S. Department of Education. Dr. Railey will review Administrative Regulation AR 4020 at the September 9, 2013 Cabinet meeting.</p> <p>On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda September 9, 2013. On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes September 9, 2013. Dr. Railey reviewed Board Policy 4020 Program, Curriculum and Course Development and the recommended changes from the California Community College League of California. This item will return to a future Cabinet meeting.</p> <p>On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda September 23, 2013. On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes September 23, 2013. Cabinet reviewed the latest changes to the draft of BP 4020.</p> <p>Dr. Railey will incorporate Cabinet's recommended changes and bring the document back to the back to Cabinet on September 30, 2013.</p> <p>On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda September 30, 2013. On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes September 30, 2013. Dr. Railey presented proposed changes to BP 4020 and AR 4020. Dr. Blue asked that this be redone using existing BP and AR formats and fonts, showing strikeouts and additions for first reading. Dr. Railey will make corrections and bring back.</p> <p>On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda October 21, 2013. On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes October 21, 2013. Dr. Railey reviewed the recommended changes for AR and BP 4020 shared on the Community College League of California policy service. Cabinet approved and recommended to move forward to Communications Council for distribution.</p> <p>Next steps: This item will go to Communications Council for review.</p> <p>On Communications Council Agenda October 29, 2013. On Communications Council Notes October 29, 2013. Dr. Railey reviewed the draft board policy 4020. The changes are based on recommendations from the Community College League of California. Dr. Barnes wants to see the changes in the law first, before considering the board policy. She is concerned about how it could potentially affect workload. She said if the recommended changes affect the board policy, the changes still needs to be negotiated with the union. Jeff Ragan asked where ECPC is delineated in the draft Administrative Regulation 4020. Dr. Railey stated the draft Administrative Regulation 4020 is not ready for consideration. The academic senate presidents will take the draft board policy for review by the senates. Dr. Barnes stated that Human Resources department needs to be aware</p>	<p>Jo sent an email to Paul Rentfrow and Don Lopez on 06/21/16 that this needs to be posted to the website.</p>	<p>Yes</p>

	<p>that if the changes are approved, because this will affect workload and needs to be negotiated. Feedback will be provided by the senates in the spring of 2014.</p> <p>On Communications Council Agenda September 30, 2014 On Communications Council Notes September 30, 2014 Stephanie Curry stated the RC senate has approved a resolution asking the district to reopen ARs 4020 and 4260. Tony Cantu stated FCC started a discussion on 4020, including the issues identified by RC academic senate. Constituency groups throughout the district will look at both ARs and make recommendations for consideration.</p> <p>On Chancellor’s Cabinet Agenda December 1, 2014 – AR 4020 On Chancellor’s Cabinet Notes December 1, 2014 – AR 4020 Dr. Railey reviewed the latest draft of AR 4020. Cabinet did not have any recommended changes.</p> <p>On Chancellor’s Cabinet Agenda July 21, 2015 AR 4020 On Chancellor’s Cabinet Notes July 21, 2015 The group discussed the possibility that revisions may need to be made.</p> <p>On Chancellor’s Cabinet Agenda November 9, 2015 Review of Proposed Changes to BP 4020: Program, Curriculum, and Course Development On Chancellor’s Cabinet Notes November 9, 2015 Barbara Hioco led the discussion regarding BP 4020 and proposed changes. Currently curriculum changes are reviewed twice a year and there is the proposed change of going to four times a year. To move forward it needs to go through the college processes and communications council.</p> <p>On Communications Council Agenda December 1, 2015 AR 4020 – Revision on the agenda</p> <p>On Chancellor’s Cabinet Agenda May 9, 2016 AR 4020 Program & Curriculum Development – Barbara Hioco On Chancellor’s Cabinet Notes May 9, 2016 AR 4020 Program & Curriculum Development – Barbara Hioco and the group discussed BP 4020 and AR 4020. Chancellor’s Cabinet agreed that no changes should be recommended. The BP needs to go before the Communications Council in June. It will go to the Board of Trustees on the consent agenda for their acknowledgement that it was reviewed and has no changes. It was noted that the official copies of BPs and ARs are those that are posted on the District’s website. General Counsel’s office makes sure they get on the website.</p> <p>On Communications Council Agenda 06/07/16 AR 4020: Program and Curriculum Development – Sandra Caldwell On Communications Council Notes 06/07/16</p> <p>Janet Barbeiro sent Jo an email on June 10, 2016 that this AR 4020 is finalized as of 06/07/16 and can be posted to the website.</p>		
BP 4040	<p>On Chancellor’s Cabinet Notes August 26, 2013. Dr. Railey reviewed required language changes in BP 4040. The changes are required, per the Education Code. Dr. Railey will bring AR 4040 to the September 9, 2013 Cabinet meeting.</p> <p>On Chancellor’s Cabinet Agenda September 9, 2013. On Chancellor’s Cabinet Notes September 9, 2013. Dr. Railey reviewed BP 4040. <u>Cabinet approved the board policy and recommended it be forwarded to Communications Council for consideration.</u></p>	In Progress	
AR 4050	<p>On Chancellor’s Cabinet agenda September 15, 2014 – approved to move to communication’s council Janet e-mail Nina on 09/24/14 and requested to be placed on the next communication’s council agenda.</p>	In Progress	

Updated as of 2/21/17

	<p>On <u>Chancellor's Cabinet notes September 15, 2014</u> – Dr. Railey reviewed AR 4050 and AR 4235. Cabinet will provide feedback. Dr. Railey will recommend a date to present it the updated policies to the Board of Trustees.</p> <p>On <u>Communications Council Agenda October 28, 2014</u> On <u>Communications Council Notes October 28, 2014</u> Dr. Railey reviewed the latest draft for AR 4235 and AR 4050. Dr. Railey stated the changes in AR 4235 and AR 4050 will bring the AR in compliance with Title 5. Communications Council made some recommendations for non-substantive changes to the documents.</p> <p><u>On Communications Council Notes January 6, 2015</u> Mary Ann Valentino reported the FCC academic senate met on December 3 and approved the student equity plan. First meeting January 28th will discuss/first read AR 4235 (credit by exam) and AR 4050 Articulation). Liz Romero reported CCCC academic senate last meeting took place the first week in December. They have been working on accreditation issues, and approved the student equity plan. The senate is reviewing AR 4235 (credit by exam) and AR 4050 articulation.</p> <p><u>On Communications Council Notes February 24, 2015</u> FCC academic senate president Mary Ann Valentino said the senate approved AR 4050 on February 11, 2015. CCCC academic senate Liz Romero reported the senate is reviewing AR 7120, AR 4050 and AR 4235.</p>		
BP 4100/ AR 4100	<p><u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes May 9, 2016</u> Curriculum & Catalogs – BP 4100 and AR 4100 are beginning to be talked about. It involves questions about how many units can be transferred (within our District). The common catalog group is being reinstated. Campus presidents will send a list of names to Barbara Hioco.</p>	<i>In Progress</i>	
AR 4225 AR 4255 AR 4227	<p>Kim Quesada sent Jo an email on 09/01/10 - Attached are two AR's that were approved by the District Vice President's last spring. According to the Chancellor's cabinet meeting summary dated March 29th, they were to be reviewed and approved by Communications Council at their April 27th meeting. According to Nina, they were in fact approved by Communications Council. I don't know whether they would have gone back to Cabinet for one last blessing or not. These AR's will need to be posted on the website but will first need the footer changed, as I know you don't include all of what is currently noted. Jo spoke with Don Lopez on 01/19/11 and Don Lopez said "no" they do not need to go back to Chancellor's Cabinet. They are approved and can be posted to the website now.</p> <p>AR 4255 Disqualification and Dismissal - Don Lopez made the motion to approve AR 4255 as presented, seconded by Terry Kershaw. The motion passed unanimously at the Meeting of the Chancellor's Cabinet on May 24, 2010.</p> <p>AR 4225 Course Repetition - Greg Taylor reported that he has reviewed Administrative Regulations 4225 and 4227, recommending that since AR 4225 and AR 4227 have been merged into AR 4225, that there be a motion to delete AR 4227.</p>	<p>AR 4255 approved at Chancellor's Cabinet on May 24, 2010.</p> <p>Jo sent an email to Robert Kim, Linda Mendez and Don Lopez on 01/20/10 that these need to be posted to the website and were adopted and approved at the Chancellor's Cabinet Meeting on 05/24/10.</p> <p>The table of contents for AR 4227 needs to be updated since it was deleted. This has been corrected.</p>	Yes
BP 4225	<p><u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda November 18, 2013.</u> <u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes November 18, 2013.</u> This item will be continued to a future date.</p> <p><u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda December 2, 2013.</u> <u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes December 2, 2013.</u> This item was continued to a future meeting.</p> <p><u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda December 16, 2013.</u> <u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes December 16, 2013.</u> George Railey gave an update on BP 4225 – Course Repetition. We will be audited in Fall 2014 for compliance. Rules were implemented Fall 2013. Also discussed were the mandated implementation steps and the timeline. Dr. Blue asked to see a copy</p>	<i>In Progress</i>	

	<p>of the League template and the BP as it stands now for comparison. It was suggested that the AR be reviewed at the same time. Greg Taylor will work with Dr. Railey on standardizing board policy and administrative regulations revision formats.</p> <p>On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda January, 6, 2014. On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes January 6, 2014. This item was moved to the January 13, 2014 meeting.</p> <p>Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda January, 13, 2014. Moved to Future Cabinet Agenda Items portion of agenda</p> <p>Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda January, 23, 2014. Listed under: Future Cabinet Agenda</p>		
<p>AR 4235</p>	<p>On Communications Council Agenda – January 28, 2014 On Communications Council Notes – January 28, 2014 Kerry Ybarra, FCC curriculum chair, introduced AR 4235 and shared some of the challenges with granting credit to high school students taking articulated Occu-Track courses. The Academic Senate is asking to implement a credit by exam process for the high school students. AR 4235 will require revision to accommodate this.</p> <p>Next Steps: Dr. Blue asked Dr. Railey to work with the presidents to clarify and develop a new AR that is in compliance with Title 5. Ms. Ikeda noted Willow has instructors going to a conference on dual enrollment this week and they will be meeting with the State Chancellor's Office staff about issues with dual enrollment credits. Dr. Blue asked Dr. Railey and the presidents to have a conversation and bring Cabinet their recommendations for a working group that will include curriculum chairs, VPs of instruction, deans of counseling or a counseling designee, among others. They will work together on the issues and recommend revisions to the AR, which will then go through the academic senates. Dr. Railey's office will oversee the revision process.</p> <p>Dr. Blue asked why AR 2410 was included in the agenda package. Dr. Valentino said it was included because it describes the AR revision process but does not include because it describes the AR revision process but does not include bringing an AR to Communications Council. Dr. Valentino wanted it included because she had questions about Communications Council's role in the process. Mr. Taylor noted the AR is written broadly to include all. Dr. Blue noted it might be good to consider a revision to include Communications Council.</p> <p>On Chancellor's Cabinet agenda September 15, 2014 – approved to move to communication's council Janet e-mail Nina on 09/24/14 and requested to be placed on next communication's council agenda. On Chancellor's Cabinet notes September 15, 2014 – Dr. Railey reviewed AR 4050 and AR 4235. Cabinet will provide feedback. Dr. Railey will recommend a date to present it the updated policies to the Board of Trustees.</p> <p>On Communications Council Agenda October 28, 2014 On Communications Council Notes October 28, 2014 Dr. Railey reviewed the latest draft for AR 4235 and AR 4050. Dr. Railey stated the changes in AR 4235 and AR 4050 will bring the AR in compliance with Title 5. Communications Council made some recommendations for non-substantive changes to the documents.</p> <p>On Communications Council Notes January 6, 2015 Mary Ann Valentino reported the FCC academic senate met on December 3 and approved the student equity plan. First meeting January 28th will discuss/first read AR 4235 (credit by exam) and AR 4050 Articulation). Stephanie Curry reported RC academic senate will meet on January 27 and will have a second read on AR 4235 and AR 4250. Liz Romero reported CCCC academic senate last meeting took place the first week in December. They have been working on accreditation issues, and approved the student equity plan. The senate is reviewing AR 4235 (credit by exam) and AR 4050 articulation.</p> <p>On Communications Council Notes February 24, 2015 FCC academic senate president Mary Ann Valentino said the senate approved AR 4050 on February 11, 2015. The senate will be considering AR 4235 at their next meeting on February 25. CCCC academic senate Liz Romero reported the senate is reviewing AR 7120, AR 4050 and AR 4235.</p>	<p><i>In Progress</i></p>	

<p>AR 4250 and AR 4255</p>	<p>On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda May 7, 2012. On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes May 7, 2012. Ms. Griffin reviewed the drafts for Administrative Regulations 4255 and 4250 and recommendations for changes. Dr. Blue recommended Cabinet review the recommendations this week and provide comments during the May 14 Cabinet meeting.</p> <p>On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda May 14, 2012. On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes May 14, 2012. Ms. Griffin provided a recap of AR 4250 and 4255 and highlighted the changes.</p> <p>On Communications Council Agenda July 31, 2012. On Communications Council Notes July 31, 2012. Ms. Griffin reviewed the revised AR 4255. She stated the changes have been approved by all constituency groups.</p> <p>Doris had informed Jo that it was ready and could be posted to the website but Jo needed to make sure it was passed by Chancellor's Cabinet and Communications Council.</p> <p>Jo sent Doris Griffin an email on August 8, 2014 regarding AR 4255 "Disqualification and Dismissal". We cannot post AR 4255 until these questions have been resolved.</p> <p>Jo sent an email to Greg on 10/09/12. Nina and I did some checking and AR 4255 went to Chancellor's Cabinet on May 14, 2012 for a second read with the suggested changes. Then it went to Communications Council for informational purposes only on July 31, 2012 and there were no further changes. Jo worked with Frances Lippmann to make sure she had the finalized version.</p> <p><u>Jo sent Greg and email on 01/30/15 to ask if she could post to the website.</u></p> <p>Ms. Griffin presented the draft of the revised AR 4250. Dr. Blue recommended getting the California Community College League's recommendation. This will return to the November Communications Council meeting.</p> <p>On Communications Council Agenda December 4, 2012. On Communications Council Notes December 4, 2012. Doris Griffin reviewed recommended changes for AR 4250.</p> <p><u>Consensus by Communications Council to move this item forward to Cabinet for approval.</u></p> <p>On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda December 10, 2012. On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes December 10, 2012. Ms. Griffin reported that Communications Councils approved AR 4250 with the two presented changes. <u>Cabinet approved AR 4250</u>. The approved AR will be forwarded to general counsel for posting.</p> <p>Jo worked with Nina Acosta and Doris Griffin and received the last version. Jo updated the last version with the edits from Chancellor's Cabinet and made a final version for Greg to review. Greg reviewed and Jo will now post this AR 4250 to the website.</p> <p>Nina Acosta emailed Jo on 12/18/12 and asked has this been posted to the website and informed her that it was approved by Chancellor's Cabinet on 12/10/12.</p> <p><u>On Communications Council Notes January 6, 2015</u> Stephanie Curry reported RC academic senate will meet on January 27 and will have a second read on AR 4235 and AR 4250.</p> <p><u>On Communications Council Notes April 6, 2015</u> Leslie Rata stated CCC classified senate is reviewing the EEO plan and AR 4250.</p>	<p>Chancellor's Cabinet approved AR 4250 on 12/10/12.</p> <p>Jo sent Robert Kim and Don Lopez an email on 08/07/14 and requested that he post AR 4250 to the website.</p> <p>Jo sent Robert Kim and Don Lopez an email on 04/14/15 and requested that he post this to the website.</p> <p>Jo re-sent to Robert Kim and Don Lopez an email on 04/15/15 and requested that he re-post this to the website. There was a typo at the bottom Reedly instead of Reedley. It should be fixed now.</p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>AR 4260</p>	<p>On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda April 1, 2013. On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes April 1, 2013.</p>	<p>Approved by Chancellor's Cabinet on 08/26/13.</p>	<p>Yes</p>

Updated as of 2/21/17

	<p>Dr. Blue reviewed the draft of AR 4260 prepared by FCC academic senate. Dr. Blue asked Tony Cantu to check and see who initiated the request and what the review process entailed. Dr. Blue will discuss this item with the academic senate presidents during their April 3, 2013 meeting. A determination will be made for the correct process to address this issue.</p> <p>On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda May 6, 2013. On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes May 6, 2013. Cabinet reviewed the proposed recommended changes to AR 4260 by the academic senates. Cabinet recommends that Dr. Railey review this item with the vice presidents and place it on the next Educational Coordinating and Planning committee (ECPC). This will be placed on a future Cabinet agenda once it has been reviewed.</p> <p>On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda August 26, 2013. On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes August 26, 2013. Dr. Railey stated the vice presidents reviewed and approved this AR last week. The language of the AR is now consistent with the recommendations of the state chancellor's office.</p> <p><u>Cabinet approved Administrative Regulation 4260 Prerequisites and Corequisites</u>.</p> <p>On Communications Council Agenda August 27, 2013. On Communications Council Notes August 27, 2013. <u>Dr. Railey advised Communications Council that Cabinet approved AR 4260 on August 26, 2013</u>.</p> <p>Nina Acosta emailed Jo on 08/29/13 and requested that this needs to be posted to the website.</p> <p>On Communications Council Agenda September 30, 2014 On Communications Council Notes September 30, 2014 Stephanie Curry stated the RC senate has approved a resolution asking the district to reopen ARs 4020 and 4260. Tony Cantu stated FCC started a discussion on 4020, including the issues identified by RC academic senate. Constituency groups throughout the district will look at both ARs and make recommendations for consideration.</p>	<p>Jo sent Robert Kim and Don Lopez an email on 08/29/13 and requested that he post this to the website.</p>	
<p>AR 5015</p>	<p><u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda 09/26/16</u> AR 5015 – Pedro Avila <u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes 09/26/16</u> AR 5015 – Pedro Avila explained that there is a recommendation for one change in AR 5015. It is on page 5 and changes the limit from "the date of the first census" to "two primary terms". The Chancellor's Cabinet agreed with this and it will then be taken to Communications Council, then presidents will take it to their cabinets and then back to Chancellor's Cabinet.</p> <p><u>On Communications Council Agenda 09/27/16</u> AR 5015 – Paul Parnell <u>On Communications Council Notes 09/27/16</u> -Waiting on notes from B. Martin</p> <p>Email from Pedro to Janet on 09/28/16 - The AR will come back to Cabinet in two weeks for final approval and at that time we will make sure to route it to Jo Lewis.</p> <p><u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda 10/10/16</u> AR 5015 Residence Determination – Pedro Avila <u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes 10/10/16</u> Pedro Avila asked for any feedback on this AR. There was none and it will now go to the office of in-house council.</p>	<p>Approved by Chancellor's Cabinet 10/10/16</p> <p>Jo sent Paul Rentfrow and Don Lopez an email on 10/21/16 and requested that he post AR 5015 to the website.</p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>AR 5030</p>	<p><u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes November 1, 2010</u>. Robert Fox requested Cabinet consider modifying AR5030 to exempt students participating in the high school dual enrollment. This item will be considered at the next Cabinet meeting.</p> <p><u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda November 15, 2010</u>.</p>	<p>In progress</p>	

Updated as of 2/21/17

	<p>On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes November 15, 2010. Mr. Fox stated the district vice presidents recommend modification to AR5030 to exempt students participating in the high school dual enrollment program. Dr. Kershaw asked if this also included the health fee. Mr. Taylor recommended forwarding this item to the Communications Council for discussion and then returning it to Cabinet with recommendations for final adoption.</p> <p>On Communications Council Agenda on November 30, 2010. On Communications Council Notes for November 30, 2010. Mr. Fox presented information on AR 5030. He stated the vice presidents recommend AR 5030 be modified in order to exempt the health and enrollment fees for students participating in the high school dual enrollment program, in accordance with Ed Code section 76001, and consistent with our practice.</p> <p>Dr. Hioco stated the Board of Trustees took action two years ago to eliminate enrollment fees for high school students.</p> <p>The consensus of the Council was to proceed with the formal review process.</p>		
<p>BP 5030</p>	<p>Consideration to Approve Student Health Fee Increase, Districtwide was put on the BOT's agenda for February 5, 2013 as Item 13-10G. On the Minutes, BOT's for February 5, 2013, this was an action item to Authorize a \$2 increase to student health fees effective fall semester 2013 resulting in the following rates: \$19 per semester and \$16 for summer session for district colleges and centers; with the exception of the Oakhurst and Career Technology centers at the rate of \$11 per semester. (Nina brought this to Jo and Patricia's attention on 10/31/14 – issue with Christine Miktarian's Board Item). Jo sent Greg and email and also copied Patricia on the email. Not sure what is transpiring to correct this BP 5030. Waiting to hear. Patricia informed Jo that Ed and Greg discussed leaving the fines as is and bring the BP back to correct the student health fees.</p> <p>On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda January 6, 2014. On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes January 6, 2014. This item was moved to the January 13, 2014 meeting.</p> <p>This was never put back on Chancellor's Cabinet for January 13, 2014.</p> <p>On Board of Trustee's Agenda for November 6, 2014.</p> <p>First Reading of Proposed District Parking Fees and Fines. Exhibit – Draft Revised Board Policy 5030 on November 6, 2014 BOT's Agenda.</p> <p>Notes from BOT's meeting on November 6, 2014 stated: "Christine Miktarian presented information on the proposed parking fees and fines. She reviewed the maintenance and operational costs to maintain the parking lots throughout the District. Ms. Miktarian stated there has not been a parking fee increase in 23 years. She reviewed the survey comparing comparable districts.</p> <p>Colin Van Loon, student member on Board of Governors, and Student Body President at CCCC, presented a resolution passed by CCCC ASB that does not support an increase in parking fees with regards to part-time students. He said increase will be a financial burden on this student population. (copy attached)</p> <p>The Board recommended the District look at other options with regards to part time students and present the information at the next board meeting for consideration.</p> <p>No action is required at this meeting. Revised parking fees and fines will be recommended for adoption at the December 9, 2014, regular board meeting.</p> <p>Notes from BOT's meeting on December 9, 2014 stated "Christine Miktarian presented the updated proposal for the District's parking fees and fines. An adjustment to the proposal was made to keep the parking fees the same for part time students and faculty. A motion was made by Trustee Caglia and seconded by Trustee Kahn that the BOT's approve the revision of Board Policy 5030 and the increase in parking fees and fines, as presented. The motion passed by the following roll call votes: (see notes from BOT's meeting December 9, 2014 regarding the votes).</p>	<p>Jo sent Robert Kim and Don Lopez an email on 04/14/15 and requested that he post BP 5030 to the website.</p> <p>Jo sent an email to Paul Rentfrow and Don Lopez on 06/21/16 that this needs to be posted to the website.</p>	<p>Yes</p>

	<p><u>On Board of Trustee's Agenda for May 3, 2016</u> Introduction of Proposed Revised BP 5030 – Student Representation Fee – Item No. 16-19 Recommendation: No action to revise the policy may be taken at this meeting. The proposed revision of BP 5030 omitting the sentence “Students receiving Board of Governors fee waivers are deemed to have refused in writing to pay the fee for financial reasons” is introduced at this meeting. At the subsequent regular Board meeting the administration will recommend adoption of the revised policy, at which time the Board may consider and take action to adopt the revision.</p> <p><u>On Board of Trustee's Notes for May 3, 2016</u> Gregory Taylor explained the proposed revision of BP 5030 omitting the sentence, “Students receiving Board of Governors fee waivers are deemed to have refused in writing to pay the fee for financial reasons.” He explained that no action to revise the policy may be taken at this meeting. It is being introduced at this meeting. At the subsequent regular board meeting, administration will recommend adoption of the revised policy, at which time the board may consider and take action to adopt the revision.</p> <p><u>On Board of Trustee's Agenda for June 14, 2016</u> Consideration to Adopt Proposed Revised Board Policy 5030, “Student Representation Fee”</p> <p><u>On Board of Trustee's Notes for June 14, 2016</u> Gregory Taylor presented information regarding Board Policy 5030. A motion was made by Trustee Leal and seconded by Trustee Arias that the Board of Trustees adopt Board Policy 5030, Student Representation Fee, as presented. The motion carried without dissent.</p> <p>Jo asked if the second read was approved at the BOT's meeting on Tuesday, June 14, 2016 and Greg Taylor said “yes”. This item is finalized and can now be posted to the website.</p>		
BP/AR 5040	Melissa Ferry requested on behalf of Pedro Avila the CCLC's recommendations for these two policies. Jo sent to Melissa Ferry on 11/17/16 BP 5040 and AR 5040 along with a tracking sheet.	<i>In progress</i>	
AR 5055	<p><u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda December 9, 2013.</u> <u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes December 9, 2013.</u> Pedro Avila reviewed the enrollment priorities registration groups that were approved by the constituency groups. He stated the taskforce decided not to embed the priorities into AR 5055, because the priorities could change from year to year. Cabinet approved the enrollment priorities registration groups. Mr. Avila stated the taskforce will be reviewing AR 5055 and will be making recommendations for revisions.</p> <p><u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda March 17, 2014.</u> <u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes March 17, 2014.</u> Pedro Avila presented the proposed changes and timeline for AR 5055. Cabinet approved to forward the proposed changes as noted to constituent groups and Communications Council.</p> <p><u>On Communications Council Agenda March 25, 2014</u> <u>On Communications Council Notes March 25, 2014</u> Pedro Avila reviewed the proposed changes to AR 5055 and the timeline. <u>On Communications Council Notes April 29, 2014</u> Did not approve AR 5055; working with Pedro Avila and Tony Cantu to address our concerns. RCAS approved AR 5055.</p> <p><u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda May 5, 2014.</u> <u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes May 5, 2014.</u> There was consensus from Chancellor's cabinet to approve AR 5055 without additional changes. Chancellor's Cabinet did not approve recommended changes from Fresno City College Academic Senate as those changes would eliminate the district's ability to extend priority registration to DSPS note takers. Additionally, in order to maintain a consistent and timely priority registration process, Chancellor's Cabinet agreed that the Districtwide Matriculation Workgroup will continue to review and approve priority registration appeals.</p> <p>Janet Barbeiro emailed Jo on 06/23/14 and requested that this needs to be posted to the website.</p>	<p>Approved by Chancellor's Cabinet on May 5, 2014.</p> <p>Jo sent Robert Kim and Don Lopez an email on 06/23/14 and requested that Robert post this to the website and Don update the database.</p>	Yes

<p>AR 5075</p>	<p>On <u>Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda November 15, 2010</u>. On <u>Chancellor's Cabinet Notes November 15, 2010</u>. Mr. Fox requested to move this item to the next Cabinet meeting.</p>	<p>This was never put back on the next Chancellor's Cabinet agenda.</p>	
<p>AR 5530</p>	<p>Dr. Villa sent Greg and email about this AR 5530 back on June 23, 2010. It appears this is in the process of being changed/revised.</p> <p>Liz emailed Jo on 03/17/12. Did we send AR 5530 for final approval?</p> <p>On <u>Communications Council Agenda January 4, 2011</u>. On <u>Communications Council Notes January 4, 2011</u>. Ms. DeKruif stated there is an inconsistency regarding the timelines in <u>AR 3435</u> and <u>AR 5530</u>. Mr. Taylor agreed and stated the language is incorrect. These AR's will be reviewed.</p> <p>Greg requested that <u>AR 5530</u> be put on VP's Agenda Meeting. It was on <u>VP's Agenda November 14, 2014</u>. This appears to have been put back on the VP's Agenda for March 27, 2015 by Janet/Greg. According to Janet B. she stated that Greg will take this to CCLC and make changes and bring back at a later date.</p>	<p>In Progress</p>	
<p>BP 6200</p>	<p>On <u>Board of Trustee's Agenda for April 2, 2013</u> Introduction of Proposed Revisions to BP 6200 – Budget Preparation At the board retreat on March 22-23, 2013, administration recommended policy and procedural changes in the preparation of the annual budget. The proposed language changes include an increase in the reservation for economic uncertainty from "no less than 6%" to "no less than 15%." This increase is the result of deferrals, deficits, and mid-year adjustments to apportionment funding from the state as well as the California Community College's Chancellor's Office requiring a minimum prudent balance of 5%. Additionally, administration is recommending some additional clean-up language in the board policy.</p> <p>The proposed revision showing the additions underlined and deletions as strikethrough text is attached. The revisions have been reviewed by Chancellor's Cabinet, and shared as an informational item with communications council.</p> <p>The board may not act to revise a policy until one regular meeting after the proposed revision is recommended. Therefore, this proposed revision will appear on next month's agenda for action.</p> <p>It is recommended that at the next regular board meeting the Board adopt the proposed revision to BP 6200. <u>No action is required at this meeting.</u></p> <p>On <u>Minutes, Board of Trustees, April 2, 2013</u> Ed Eng presented revised BP 6200.</p> <p>The Board may not act to revise a policy until one regular meeting after the proposed revision is recommended. Therefore, this proposed revision will appear on next month's agenda for action. It is recommended that at the next regular board meeting the Board adopt the proposed revision to BP 6200. <u>No action is required at this meeting.</u></p> <p>On <u>Chancellor's Cabinet Notes April 29, 2013</u>. Cabinet discussed when BP 6200 will be presented to the board. This item will be postponed to future board agenda.</p> <p>On <u>Communications Council Agenda April 30, 2013</u>. On <u>Communications Council Notes April 30, 2013</u>. Mr. Ragan stated the RC academic senate invited Mr. Eng to their meeting to discuss BP 6200 and their concerns that the academic senate was not provided an opportunity to provide input into the discussion of BP 6200 before the board considered the item during the April 2, 2013 board meeting. Mr. Ragan presented RC academic senate resolution regarding opportunities for collegial consultation of changes to BP 6200. He said since the item was pulled from the agenda, the resolution is as far as this issue will go at this point.</p> <p>Dr. Blue said BP 6200 was introduced to the board during the board retreat.</p>	<p>This was never put on the next regular BOT's agenda again so that it could be adopted. It is not on the BOT's Retreat minutes from 03/22/13 or 03/23/13. It appears it was dropped and nothing further needs to be done at this time.</p>	<p>Nothing further was done. Completed until further revisions are requested again.</p>

	<p><u>Note:</u> There is not mention on the Board Retreat minutes from March 22, 2013 or March 23, 2013 regarding this BP 6200. It appears nothing further happened and this item was dropped and nothing further needs to be done at this time.</p> <p>Dr. Blue said she believes the district is experiencing growing pain trying to operationalize district governance. She asked if there should be district governance beyond Communications Council.</p> <p>Dr. Blue said the reserve balance is matter of board policy. She said Cabinet is looking at AR 2510 in detail. In addition, Dr. Blue shared information regarding resource documents regarding 10 plus 1 and participatory governance. There needs to be concurrence on the extent to which a district governance structure goes beyond Communications Council and the district resource allocation advisory committee.</p> <p>Mr. Ragan said the senate presidents had a joint executive committee meeting regarding shared governance in the District and <u>AR 2510</u>.</p> <p>Dr. Blue said it is important to include classified senates and student government leaders in the shared governance discussion. Dr. Blue asked what a good target date would be to discuss district governance structure and effective participatory governance in detail.</p> <p>Jeff Burdick said WI academic senate had a discussion regarding the reserves. There is a perception that the board is sitting on a large reserve, while classes are being cut. He recommends providing an explanation (white paper) for how the reserves are used.</p> <p>Dr. Blue said the Vice Chancellor of Finance and Administration will conduct more analysis of the reserves and scenario planning.</p> <p>Mr. Ragan asked if this discussion will continue with other issues, such as the integrated planning model. Dr. Blue said the district will continue to operate collegially when appropriate.</p>		
<p>AR 7120</p>	<p>On <u>Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda October 11, 2010</u>. On <u>Chancellor's Cabinet Notes October 11, 2010</u>. Mr. Rowe stated Administrative Regulation 7120 is up for review. A committee will be formed, including members from Fresno City and Reedley College's Academic Senate, as well as three additional faculty members. Mr. Rowe requested AR 7120 be placed on the next Cabinet agenda for discussion. The purpose of the review is to determine if any updates are needed. Dr. Blue asked if it is in the district's best interest to remain status quo, or should the AR be revised entirely. She is also concerned about the governance piece.</p> <p>On <u>Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda October 25, 2010</u>. On <u>Chancellor's Cabinet Notes October 25, 2010</u>. Randy Rowe advised Bill Turini is requesting the representation on the committee come from administration come from the colleges only, and not from the North Centers. Dr. Kershaw stated there would be better communication, if there was representation from the North Centers. It was recommended the representatives be Deborah Ikeda, Tony Cantu, and Marilyn Behringer. Mr. Rowe asked staff to send their comments to him next week.</p> <p>On <u>Communications Council Agenda October 8, 2013</u>. On <u>Communications Council Notes October 8, 2013</u>. Mary Ann Valentino asked for a status on the review of AR 7120.</p> <p>Diane Clerou stated that former AVC Randy Rowe started reviewing this AR about a year or two before he retired, and did not finish the process. Bill Turini contacted Ms. Clerou in August of 2012 asking to put it back on the schedule. The first meeting took place in January of 2013. There were two subsequent meetings but the committee did not finish the revisions, mainly because the faculty could not agree on concepts between the campuses and the participants could not find any additional dates for the meetings. Human Resources spent a tremendous amount of time trying to get the committee members to agree on dates but schedules did not match up, which is an ongoing challenge. Ms. Clerou recommends including the vice presidents of instructions or their designees in the meetings.</p> <p>On <u>Communications Council Agenda March 25, 2014</u></p>	<p>AR 7120 approved at Chancellor's Cabinet on January 25, 2016.</p> <p>Jo sent an email to Robert Kim with the correct AR 7120 on 05/17/16 and informed him that this needs to be posted to the website.</p> <p>The table of contents for AR 7120 was also updated by Jo Lewis and sent to Robert Kim on 05/19/16 to be posted to the website.</p> <p>Jo also sent Don Lopez an email on 05/19/16 as a FYI.</p>	<p>Yes.</p>

On Communications Council Notes March 25, 2014

Diane Clerou presented the latest draft of AR 7120. The constituency groups will consider the latest draft at their next meetings.

On Communications Council Notes April 29, 2014

Approved AR 7120

RCAS approved AR 7120

On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda November 17, 2014 – BP/AR 7120

On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes November 17, 2014 – BP/AR 7120

Dr. Railey reviewed the draft Administrative Regulations and board policy. AR 7120 will be brought back to the December 1, 2014 Cabinet meeting, with recommended changes by Human Resources.

On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda December 1, 2014 – AR 7120

On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes December 1, 2014 – AR 7120

Diane Clerou reviewed the latest draft of AR 7120. Cabinet approved the AR with the recommended changes. The draft will be presented to all constituency groups for review and consideration.

On Communications Council Notes December 2, 2014

Sandra Caldwell reported RC college council will be looking at student equity plan as well as AR 7120 at their next meeting. Deborah Ikeda stated CCCC has AR 7120 on next college council agenda.

On Communications Council Notes January 6, 2015

Executive committee will be sending their comments on AR 7120 (procedures for recruitment and employment of college faculty) to Cabinet prior to submitting to the full senate for the first read. RC Executive committee will also look at AR 7120 prior to sending to the full senate. Ms. Curry stated she is working with Mary Ann Valentino on AB 86.

On Communications Council Notes January 27, 2015

CCCC Academic President Liz Romero reported the senate's next meeting will take place on January 27. The senate is reviewing AR 7120 and the equivalency committee operating agreement.

On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda February 9, 2015

AR 7120, Procedures for Recruitment and Employment of Full-Time College Faculty

On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes February 9, 2015

Diane Clerou reviewed the latest draft of AR 7120 and provided information regarding comments from the academic senates. Sandra Caldwell the recommendations from the RC academic senate president. Dr. Stewart recommends the campus presidents meet with the academic senate presidents to work on this issue, and then bring AR 7120 back to Cabinet for consideration.

On Communications Council Notes February 24, 2015

CCCC academic senate Liz Romero reported the senate is reviewing AR 7120, AR 4050 and AR 4235.

On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda March 30, 2015

AR 7120 Procedures for Recruitment and Employment of College Faculty

On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes March 30, 2015

AR 7120 Procedures for Recruitment and Employment of College Faculty. This item was moved to the April 6, 2015 Cabinet meeting.

On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda April 6, 2015

AR 7120 Procedures for Recruitment and Employment of College Faculty

On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes April 6, 2015

AR 7120 This item was continued to April 13, 2015 Cabinet meeting.

On Communications Council Notes April 6, 2015

Dr. Caldwell reported on several activities taking place at RC, Madera and Oakhurst. AR 7120 will be presented at Chancellor's Cabinet today for consideration.

	<p><u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda April 13, 2015</u> AR 7120 Procedures for Recruitment and Employment of College Faculty <u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes April 13, 2015</u> Sandra Caldwell presented the latest draft of AR 7120. <u>Cabinet approved the latest draft of the AR.</u> It will move forward to the constituency groups for consideration.</p> <p><u>On Communications Council Agenda April 28, 2015</u> <u>On Communications Council Notes April 28, 2015</u> The latest draft was presented to Communications Council. The draft will be reviewed by the constituency groups and brought back to Communications Council with their recommendations.</p> <p><u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda May 18, 2015</u> AR 7120 Procedures for Recruitment and Employment of Full-Time College Faculty <u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes May 18, 2015</u> Deborah Ikeda reported the CCC faculty senate <i>has not approved</i> the AR at this time, because they need more time to review.</p> <p><u>On Communications Council Notes 01/05/16</u> Wendell Stephenson, Academic Senate FCC – reported that they had met on 12/09/15. He reported that AR 2510 and <u>AR 7120 were approved.</u></p> <p><u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda 01/25/16</u> AR 7120, Procedures for Recruitment and Employment of Full-Time College Faculty <u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes 01/25/16</u> Diane Clerou advised the group that AR 7120 has been through the regular channels and process and needs to be brought before Communications Council so they are aware.</p> <p><u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda 02/01/16</u> AR 7120, Procedures for Recruitment and Employment of Full-Time College Faculty <u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes 02/01/16</u> AR 7120 needs to be taken to the next Communications Council meeting. It was noted that CCC <u>had not approved it yet.</u> <u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes 02/22/16</u> Sandra Caldwell reported that both of these ARs (AR 2510 and AR 7120) are <u>ready to proceed to Communications Council.</u></p> <p><u>On Communications Council Agenda 02/23/16</u> AR 7120 <u>On Communications Council Notes 02/23/16</u> Sandra Caldwell reported that these two ARs (AR 2510 and <u>AR 7120</u>) have been through the constituency process and are ready to go.</p> <p>Susi Nitzel said it is on their agenda for 04/20/16. Jo received an email from Susi Nitzel on 04/21/16 that Fresno City College and District Office Classified Senate approved AR 7120 at our meeting yesterday (04/20/16). Susi will send Jo the minutes after the meeting next month.</p> <p>Jo received a telephone call from Dr. Caldwell on 04/22/16 and she said this AR 7120 has been passed. It has gone through the participatory governance process. Jo sent Robert Kim the new AR 7120 and posted it to the website on 05/17/16.</p>		
AR 7125	<p><u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda January 12, 2015</u> <u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes January 12, 2015</u> Diane Clerou reviewed the draft AR 7125 and samples of evaluation instruments for evaluations. She requested that Cabinet review the forms and provide feedback. <u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda January 26, 2015</u> AR 7125 <u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes January 26, 2015</u> Diane Clerou reminded Cabinet to provide input on the evaluation process.</p>	In progress	

AR 7128	<p><u>On Communications Council Notes September 29, 2015</u> Elizabeth Romero reported for Clovis Community College regarding AR's 7700, 7128 and 2510.</p>		
AR 7220	<p><u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda May 13, 2013.</u> <u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes May 13, 2013.</u> Diane Clerou asked Cabinet to review AR 7220 and see if it meets their expectations, or if there are any other issues. This will be placed on a future Cabinet agenda.</p> <p><u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda July 10, 2013.</u> <u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes July 10, 2013.</u> Diane Clerou requested this item be moved to a future agenda item.</p> <p><u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda July 29, 2013.</u> <u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes July 29, 2013.</u> Ms. Clerou distributed a draft of AR 7220. She asked Cabinet to review and be prepared for discussion on August 12, 2013.</p> <p><u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda August 19, 2013.</u> <u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes August 19, 2013.</u> This item was moved to the August 26, 2013 Cabinet agenda.</p> <p><u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda August 26, 2013.</u> <u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes August 26, 2013.</u> Diane Clerou reviewed the draft of AR 7220 with Cabinet.</p> <p><u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda January 13, 2014.</u> <u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes January 13, 2014.</u> Ms. Clerou reviewed the latest draft of AR 7220. Cabinet Workgroup provided feedback for the document <u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Workgroup Agenda January 13, 2014.</u> <u>Chancellor's Workgroup Notes January 13, 2014. NO NOTES AVAILABLE</u></p> <p><u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Workgroup Agenda January 31, 2014</u> <u>Chancellor's Workgroup Notes January 31, 2014.</u> Diane Clerou passed out a copy of the proposed AR and the redline copy showing changes reviewed by Academic Senate and management representatives on the committee. She will move to the 2/10/14 meeting.</p> <p><u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Workgroup Agenda February 10, 2014</u> <u>Chancellor's Workgroup Notes February 10, 2014.</u> Ms. Clerou asked for feedback on the draft AR 7120. This item will come back to workgroup on February 24, 2014.</p> <p><u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Workgroup Agenda March 17, 2014</u> <u>Chancellor's Workgroup Notes March 17, 2014.</u> Workgroup to provide comments to Ms. Clerou as soon as possible as revisions to AR 7120 will be presented to Communications Council on March 25.</p>	<i>In progress</i>	
AR 7223	<p><u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda July 11, 2011.</u></p> <p><u>On Communications Council Agenda July 26, 2011.</u> <u>On Communications Council Notes July 26, 2011.</u> Randy Rowe reviewed the interim appointment to vacant academic administrator position – AR 7223 and requested the constituency groups to review and comment.</p> <p><u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda October 17, 2011.</u></p>	Somebody posted to the website but it was not Jo. Jo sent a copy to Don Lopez on 07/21/14.	Yes

Updated as of 2/21/17

On [Chancellor's Cabinet Notes October 17, 2011](#).

Mr. Rowe stated the draft revised AR7223 was introduced to Communications Council in July 2011. It is currently before the academic senates for the first read. The only proposed change to the AR is to remove the sentence which refers to not allowing interims to apply.

On [Communications Council Agenda October 25, 2011](#).

On [Communications Council Notes October 25, 2011](#).

Mr. Rowe reviewed the current language in AR 7223. He stated paragraph four was put in the AR because, historically, it was difficult to recruit with an interim in place. Since 2003 when the change to the AR was made, potential candidates serving as an interim capacity are unable to apply. Both academic senates will be reviewing the recommendation. Dr. Habib suggested that an interim should be selected through a process, rather than an appointment. Dr. Blue is concerned about the exclusion of interims.

This item will return to the November agenda.

On [Communications Council Agenda November 29, 2011](#).

On [Communications Council Notes November 29, 2011](#).

This AR was provided to members of Communications Council during the July 2011 meeting as a request for input regarding deleting the sentence "a person who is an incumbent in an interim academic administrative position during the recruitment of the position at or above dean level may not apply for the regular position."

FCC Academic Senate had concerns regarding the current use of the terms "interim" and "acting." They felt this was confusing and wanted the AR to identify the difference between those terms and the use of those terms. For this reason FCC was not in favor of the change to the sentence regarding interims applying for a position at this time.

RC Academic Senate proposed the following changes to the AR:

- Changing the term "interim" to "temporary/non regular".
- Recommended eliminating the sentence regarding the interim not being able to apply and allow interims to apply.
- Recommended at the college level, the college president and the academic senate president reach a mutual written agreement regarding the process for the appointment of an interim administrator.
- At the district level, recommended the chancellor reach a mutual written agreement with the academic senate presidents regarding the process for interim appointment.

Randy Rowe asked if there was any other input from anyone. Kasey Oliver, from CSEA, reported that during their discussion there was some concern regarding the terms "interim" and "acting" but that it was basically 50/50 to keep the sentence regarding the interim's ability to apply or eliminate it. Randy then stated AR 7223 will move to the cabinet level, this input will be provided to the cabinet for their consideration. The cabinet will move quickly to establish any changes to AR 7223 due to the spring recruiting that will be starting very soon. There were no other questions or concerns.

On [Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda December 5, 2011](#).

On [Chancellor's Cabinet Notes December 5, 2011](#).

Cabinet reviewed feedback from Communications Council. Cabinet recommended clarifying the terms interim and acting.

(Interim is an active employee filling an academic administrative position; Acting is a retiree that is filling an academic administrative position). In addition, the fourth paragraph of the current AR7223 has been stricken. (A person who is incumbent in an interim academic administrator position during recruitment for the position, at or above the dean level, may not apply for the regular position)

This item will return to [Cabinet on December 12, 2011 for final approval](#).

On [Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda December 12, 2011](#).

On [Chancellor's Cabinet Notes December 12, 2011](#).

Diane Clerou presented a draft of AR 7223 with revisions. Cabinet discussed the terms "Acting" and "Interim" as it relates to AR7223. Ms. Clerou will take Cabinet's comments back to Mr. Rowe for further revisions to the draft. This item will return to Cabinet on December 19, 2011 for consideration.

On [Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda December 19, 2011](#).

	<p><u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes December 19, 2011.</u> Mr. Rowe reviewed Title 5, Section 53021, and the language regarding recruitment. Anyone who is not a current employee is considered "acting". In - house is considered an "interim." Mr. Rowe is going to get clarification from the state chancellor's office on the length of time an acting appointment can last. Mr. Rowe will work with Dr. Kershaw, Mr. Cantu, and Dr. Capet to address some language in paragraph three and four of the draft. Mr. Rowe will bring this item back to the January 9, 2011, meeting for consideration.</p> <p><u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda January 9, 2012.</u> <u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes January 9, 2012.</u> Cabinet reviewed the proposed revisions to AR 7223. This was the third review by Cabinet of the proposed revisions. Those revisions are to align the administrative regulation language to Title 5, section 53021 in terms of difference between "interim" (current employee) and "acting" (not a current employee) as reflected in Title 5, section 53021. In addition, the sentence regarding an interim not being able to apply for a position was struck and the process of interim appointment was clarified with approval of the chancellor. The terms interim and acting were both included where appropriate throughout this administrative regulation. Following this review, Cabinet adopted AR 7223 with these revisions.</p> <p><u>On Communications Council Agenda January 31, 2012.</u> <u>On Communications Council Notes January 31, 2012.</u> Mr. Rowe reviewed the decision making process for the amendment of AR 7223. The revisions align the administrative regulation language to Title 5, section 53021. In terms of the difference between "interim" (current employee) and "acting" (not a current employee), Title 5 only defines and uses the term interim. The revised Administrative Regulation defines the difference between interim and acting for our district. In addition, the sentence regarding an interim not being able to apply for a position was struck and the process of interim appointment was clarified with approval of the chancellor. The terms interim and acting were both included where appropriate throughout this administrative regulation. Dr. Barnes requested additional clarification on the terms acting and interim.</p> <p>Mr. Ragan reviewed Reedley College Academic Senate's recommendations and asked Mr. Rowe why the recommendations were not considered. Mr. Rowe stated Chancellor's Cabinet used terminology which aligned with Title 5 requirements. <u>The remainder of the senate's recommendations were considered, but not accepted by Chancellor's Cabinet.</u></p>		
AR 7400	<p><u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda June 30, 2014.</u> <u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes June 30, 2014.</u> Cabinet reviewed the travel and conference policy, in particular per diem amounts. This item will return to a future Cabinet meeting. Cabinet agreed to 10/15/30 as the new amounts, to be implemented in a year.</p> <p>Please also refer to BP 2735 for information relating to AR 7400.</p>	<i>In progress</i>	
AR 7700	<p><u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda May 18, 2015</u> AR 7700 Whistleblower Protection <u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes May 18, 2015</u> This item was moved to a future agenda.</p> <p><u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda June 8, 2015</u> AR 7700 Whistleblower Protection Changes <u>On Chancellor's Cabinet Notes June 8, 2015</u> A handout was provided and approved by Chancellor's Cabinet. It will be taken to the Communications Council as an information only item.</p> <p>Diane Clerou sent Jo Lewis an email on 04/20/15 that this is a final version. Jo researched and saw that it was revised by Chancellor's Cabinet on June 8, 2015.</p> <p><u>On Communications Council Agenda August 25, 2015</u> AR 7700, Whistleblower Protection <u>On Communications Council Notes August 25, 2015</u></p>	<p>Jo sent an email to Paul Rentfrow with the correct AR 7700 on 05/24/16 and informed him that this needs to be posted to the website.</p> <p>Jo also sent Don Lopez an email on 05/24/16 as a FYI.</p>	Yes.

	<p>Diane Clerou reported that there are changes to comply with the law. Copies were handed out to those at the District Office location. Ms. Clerou will send an electronic copy with changes indicated to the group.</p> <p><u>On Communications Council Notes September 29, 2015</u> Elizabeth Romero reported for Clovis Community College regarding AR's 7700, 7128 and 2510. Susan Johnson filled in for Susi Nitzel and reported for <u>FCC Classified Senate</u>. They had met on Sept. 16. <u>They approved the District Staffing Advisory Committee Operating Agreement and AR 7700.</u></p> <p><u>On Communications Council Notes October 27, 2015</u> Elizabeth Romero reported for <u>Clovis Community College</u> that they are meeting today. They are looking at the SEP and the Student Success Plans, <u>have approved the whistle blower 7700</u> and are verifying information with Diane Clerou.</p>		
	<p>Updated BOT's Agendas as of 10/04/16 Updated BOT's Notes as of 9/23/16 Updated Chancellor's Cabinet Agenda as of 10/17/16 Updated Chancellor's Cabinet Notes as of 10/17/16 Updated Communications Council Agenda as of 09/27/16 Updated Communications Council Notes as of 03/29/16 [waiting for some of these notes from B. Martin]</p>		

Liz Romero, Academic Senate at CCC

Daniel Hoffman, Classified Senate President at CCC

Wendell Stephenson, Academic Senate at FCC

Susi Nitzel, Classified Senate at FCC

Stephanie Curry, Academic Senate at RC

Darnell Harris, Classified Senate at RC

[Understanding the process of how Administrative Regulations circulate:](#)

1. An Administrative Regulation needs changes it is brought before Chancellor's Cabinet for review.
2. Chancellor's Cabinet decides if the Administrative Regulation needs to go through the participatory governance process or just an approval process. Please note: Not all Administrative Regulations needs a participatory governance approval.
3. If it does need participatory governance approval then Chancellor's Cabinet sends information to College Counsels (i.e., Academic Senates/Classified Senates) and the process moves forward.
4. Then it should be on Communications Council's Agenda's/minutes to make sure it is moving through the process.
5. It should be on College Counsels Agenda's/Notes of what has transpired with the Administrative Regulation.
6. Then back to Chancellor's Cabinet for a 2nd read/review process (information item only).

Updated as of 2/21/17

