


Q1 Format: The format for the Strategic Planning Alliance made sense and allowed participants to contribute to the strategic plan.


Answered: 65 Skipped: 1



| Answer Choices | Responses | |
|----------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Strongly Agree | 47.69% | 31 |
| Agree | 46.15% | 30 |
| Neither Agree nor Disagree | 3.08% | 2 |
| Disagree | 1.54% | 1 |
| Strongly Disagree | 1.54% | 1 |
| Total | | 65 |

Q2 Organization: The Strategic Planning Alliance was well organized.


Answered: 66 Skipped: 0



| Answer Choices | Responses | Count |
|----------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Strongly Agree | 66.67% | 44 |
| Agree | 28.79% | 19 |
| Neither Agree nor Disagree | 0.00% | 0 |
| Disagree | 4.55% | 3 |
| Strongly Disagree | 0.00% | 0 |
| Total | | 66 |

Q3 Outcomes: The outcomes for the Strategic Planning Alliance were outlined and achieved during the event.


Answered: 64 Skipped: 2



| Answer Choices | Responses |
|----------------------------|-----------|
| Strongly Agree | 40.63% 26 |
| Agree | 46.88% 30 |
| Neither Agree nor Disagree | 9.38% 6 |
| Disagree | 3.13% 2 |
| Strongly Disagree | 0.00% 0 |
| Total | 64 |

Q4 Inclusion: The Strategic Planning Alliance allowed the participants to be part of the strategic planning process at SCCCD.

Answered: 66 Skipped: 0



| Answer Choices | Responses | |
|----------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Strongly Agree | 66.67% | 44 |
| Agree | 24.24% | 16 |
| Neither Agree nor Disagree | 6.06% | 4 |
| Disagree | 3.03% | 2 |
| Strongly Disagree | 0.00% | 0 |
| Total | | 66 |

SCCCD Strategic Planning Alliance Exit Survey

Q5 Suggestions:

Answered: 24 Skipped: 42

| # | Responses | Date |
|----|--|--------------------|
| 1 | There was a lot of goodwill and earnest effort that I was happy to be a part of at the event. My only criticism is with the final choices for the mission statement. All the final choices sounded too much like a committee effort and were overly complex and not as elegant as some of the suggestions that I heard around individual tables. | 9/26/2016 8:26 PM |
| 2 | I would suggest condensing the meeting time, as most participants at my table left early. | 9/26/2016 7:47 PM |
| 3 | Thank you for including industry in the process. Transparency, collaboration and communication is the key to our overall success. | 9/26/2016 3:00 PM |
| 4 | While the process of choosing words for the Vision and Mission was fun, I would not call that Strategic and no Planning was done. I was expecting something very different. AS in: District plans to grow, with examples and some priority setting on program expansions and/or site development. | 9/26/2016 2:05 PM |
| 5 | Final plans did not seem very diverse. Would have helped to have more dialogue to clarify what people were thinking from different tables. | 9/26/2016 12:00 PM |
| 6 | I feel that the outcomes were not as strong as they could have been. There was too much focus on melding various ideas and concepts together. Some excellent suggestions on generated simple, concise statements were lost in this process. | 9/26/2016 11:58 AM |
| 7 | Notify participants with details of the event to know what to expect. alot of what occurred was a surprise. Overall great event. | 9/26/2016 11:52 AM |
| 8 | na | 9/26/2016 11:48 AM |
| 9 | Well done!!! It was a successful event with great outcomes. Thank you. | 9/23/2016 2:58 PM |
| 10 | Limit each table member 2 minutes to discuss their point so the whole table can talk--table hogs are no fun!!! | 9/22/2016 7:00 PM |
| 11 | Next time please serve dessert. | 9/22/2016 2:53 PM |
| 12 | Reason for my mark in #2: Not all handouts were ready and distributed when Linda had asked for them (ahead of time). I believe this was the fault of the admin assts, not Linda's. | 9/22/2016 10:29 AM |
| 13 | The process was great, but it seems we could have achieved the outcome in less time. | 9/22/2016 10:27 AM |
| 14 | Linda did a great job facilitating! | 9/22/2016 8:35 AM |
| 15 | Great having the industry partners in attendance | 9/21/2016 7:08 PM |
| 16 | Good food too! | 9/21/2016 5:25 PM |
| 17 | Needed more context at the beginning about the difference between the district's mission and goals vs the colleges. Seemed like a lot of the suggestions were college-level. | 9/21/2016 5:04 PM |
| 18 | Make it a bit shorter, 3 hours is sufficient. Make it flowing better, for example by giving people a chance to move on to the next item as soon as they are done. Use a bell or music to indicate the end of a session, rather than yelling in the mike. Overall: outcomes will be positive, don't get me wrong. | 9/21/2016 4:56 PM |
| 19 | less time--many guests left early; felt not part of actual plan | 9/21/2016 4:41 PM |
| 20 | Separately submitted | 9/21/2016 4:06 PM |
| 21 | a bit long - five hours is a long time, thankful we got out early | 9/21/2016 3:56 PM |
| 22 | Thank you for including me in the group. | 9/21/2016 3:45 PM |
| 23 | None | 9/21/2016 3:43 PM |
| 24 | None | 9/21/2016 11:33 AM |